Amendments to Professional and Ethical Standard 1

Technology-related Revisions

Commencement and application dates: refer to Part A of this standard



AMENDMENTS TO PROFESSIONAL AND ETHICAL STANDARD 1: TECHNOLOGY-RELATED REVISIONS

Legal status of Standard

This Standard was issued on 22 June 2023 by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board of the External Reporting Board (XRB) pursuant to section 12(b) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013.

This Standard is secondary legislation for the purposes of the Legislation Act 2019. A firm that is required to apply this Standard, is required to apply the Standard in accordance with the application date which is set out in Part A.

In finalising this Standard, the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board has carried out appropriate consultation in accordance with section 22(1) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013.

This Standard has been issued to reflect the amendments necessary to Professional and Ethical Standard 1: *International Code of Ethics for Assurance Practitioners (including International Independence Standards) (New Zealand)*, as a result of amendments arising from Technology-related revisions.

COPYRIGHT

© External Reporting Board ("XRB") 2023

This XRB standard contains copyright material and reproduces, with the permission of the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), parts of the corresponding international standard issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants ("IESBA"), and published by IFAC. Reproduction within New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

All existing rights (including copyrights) in this material outside of New Zealand are reserved by IFAC, with the exception of the right to reproduce for the purposes of personal use or other fair dealing. Further information can be obtained from IFAC at www.ifac.org or by writing to permissions@ifac.org

ISBN 978-1-99-100541-0

AMENDMENTS TO PROFESSIONAL AND ETHICAL STANDARD 1: TECHNOLOGY-RELATED REVISIONS

Issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board

CONTENTS

Pa	age
A: Commencement and application	4
B: Introduction	5
C: Amendments to Professional and Ethical Standard 1: Technology-related Revisions	
PART 1 – Complying with the Code, Fundamental Principles and Conceptual Framework	6
PART 2 – Assurance Practitioners Performing Professional Activities Pursuant to their Relationship With The Firm	3
PART 3 – Application of the Code, Fundamental Principles and Conceptual Framework19	9
PART 4A – Independence for Audit and Review Engagements	7
PART 4B – Independence for Assurance Engagements other than Audit and Review Engagements	8
Glossary44	4

A: Commencement and application

When standard takes effect (Section 27 Financial Reporting Act 2013)

1. This standard takes effect on the 28th day after the date of its publication under the Legislation Act 2019¹.

Accounting period in relation to which standards commence to apply (section 28 Financial Reporting Act 2013)

- 2. The accounting periods for engagements undertaken by a firm in relation to which this standard commences to apply are, for those accounting periods following, and including, the first accounting period that begins on or after the **mandatory date**.
- 3. In paragraph 2:

mandatory date means 15 December 2024.

4

¹ The standard was published on 22 June 2023.

B: Introduction

This Standard contains amendments to *Professional and Ethical Standard 1: International Code of Ethics for Assurance Practitioners (including International Independence Standards) (New Zealand)*, as a result of amendments arising from Technology-related revisions.

Section C of this Standard contains amendments arising from Technology-related revisions.

Underline and strikethrough are used to indicate changes.

C: Amendments to Professional and Ethical Standard 1: Technology-related Revisions

PART 1 – COMPLYING WITH THE CODE, FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

. . .

SECTION 110

THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES

٠.

SUBSECTION 113 – PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE AND DUE CARE

- **R113.1** An assurance practitioner shall comply with the principle of professional competence and due care, which requires an assurance practitioner to:
 - (a) Attain and maintain professional knowledge and skills at the level required to ensure that a client receives competent assurance service, based on standards issued by the External Reporting Board, the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board and the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board and relevant legislation; and
 - (b) Act diligently and in accordance with the standards issued by the External Reporting Board, the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board and the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board.
- 113.1 A1 Serving clients with professional competence <u>requiresinvolves</u> the exercise of sound judgement in applying professional knowledge and skills. when undertaking professional activities.
- 113.1 A2 The knowledge and skills necessary for a professional activity vary depending on the nature of the activity being undertaken. For example, in addition to the application of any technical knowledge relevant to the professional activity, interpersonal, communication and organisational skills facilitate the assurance practitioner's interaction with entities and individuals with whom the assurance practitioner interacts.
- 113.1 <u>A23</u> Maintaining professional competence requires <u>an assurance practitioner to have</u> a continuing awareness and an-understanding of <u>relevant</u>-technical, professional, business and technology-related developments <u>relevant to the professional activities undertaken by the assurance practitioner</u>. Continuing professional development enables an assurance practitioner to develop and maintain the capabilities to perform competently within the assurance environment.
- 113.1 <u>A34</u> Diligence encompasses the responsibility to act in accordance with the requirements of an assignment, carefully, thoroughly and on a timely basis.
- R113.2 In complying with the principle of professional competence and due care, an assurance practitioner shall take reasonable steps to ensure that those working in a professional capacity under the assurance practitioner's authority have appropriate training and supervision.
- **R113.3** Where appropriate, an assurance practitioner shall make clients, or other users of the assurance practitioner's <u>assurance services professional activities</u>, aware of the limitations inherent in the <u>services</u> activities and explain the implications of those limitations.

SUBSECTION 114 – CONFIDENTIALITY

- An assurance practitioner shall comply with the principle of confidentiality, which requires an assurance practitioner to respect the confidentiality of information acquired as a resulting the course of professional and business relationships. An assurance practitioner shall:
 - (a) Be alert to the possibility of inadvertent disclosure, including in a social environment, and particularly to a close business associate or an immediate or a close family member;
 - (b) Maintain confidentiality of information within the firm;
 - (c) Maintain confidentiality of information disclosed by a prospective client; and
 - (dg) Take reasonable steps to ensure that personnel under the assurance practitioner's control, and individuals from whom advice and assistance are obtained, respect comply with the assurance practitioner's duty of confidentiality.
- 114.1 A1 Maintaining the confidentiality of information acquired in the course of professional and business relationships involves the assurance practitioner taking appropriate action to protect the confidentiality of such information in the course of its collection, use, transfer, storage or retention, dissemination and lawful destruction.
- **R114.2** Subject to paragraph R114.3, an assurance practitioner shall not:
 - (<u>ad</u>) Not <u>dD</u>isclose confidential information acquired <u>as a resultin the course</u> of professional and business relationships <u>outside the firm without proper and specific authority, unless there is a legal or professional duty or right to disclose;</u>
 - (**be**) Not <u>uUse</u> confidential information acquired <u>as a resultin the course</u> of professional and business relationships for the <u>personal</u> advantage of the assurance practitioner, <u>the firm</u> or <u>for the advantage of</u> a third party;
 - (cf) Not uUse or disclose any confidential information, either acquired or received as a resultin the course of a professional or business relationship, after that relationship has ended; and
 - (d) Use or disclose information in respect of which the duty of confidentiality applies notwithstanding that that information has become publicly available, whether properly or improperly.
- R114.3 As an exception to paragraph R114.2, an assurance practitioner may disclose or use confidential information where:
 - (a) There is a legal or professional duty or right to do so; or
 - (b) This is authorised by the client or any person with the authority to permit disclosure or use of the confidential information and this is not prohibited by law or regulation.
- 114.43 A1 Confidentiality serves the public interest because it facilitates the free flow of information from the assurance practitioner's client to the assurance practitioner in the knowledge that the information will not be disclosed to a third party. Nevertheless, the following are circumstances where assurance practitioners are or might be required or have the duty or right to disclose confidential information or when such disclosure might be appropriate:
 - (a) Disclosure is required by law or regulation, for example:
 - (i) Production of documents or other provision of evidence in the course of legal proceedings; or

- (ii) Disclosure to the appropriate public authorities of infringements of the law that come to light; and
- (b) Disclosure is permitted by law and is authorised by the client; and
- (<u>be</u>) There is a professional duty or right to disclose <u>or use</u>, when not prohibited by law <u>or regulation</u>:
 - (i) To comply with the quality review of a professional body;
 - (ii) To respond to an enquiry or investigation by a professional or regulatory body;
 - (iii) To protect the professional interests of an assurance practitioner in legal proceedings; or
 - (iv) To comply with standards issued by the External Reporting Board, the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board and the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board.
- NZ114.3+ A1.1 The circumstances in paragraph 114.43 A1 do not take into account New Zealand legal and regulatory requirements. An assurance practitioner considering disclosing or using confidential information about a client without their consent is advised to first obtain legal advice.
 - 114.<u>3</u>1 A2 In deciding whether to disclose <u>or use</u> confidential information, factors to consider, depending on the circumstances, include:
 - Whether the interests of any parties, including third parties whose interests might be affected, could be harmed if the client consents to authorises the disclosure or use of information by the assurance practitioner.
 - Whether all the relevant information is known and substantiated, to the extent practicable. Factors affecting the decision to disclose or use, the information include:
 - Unsubstantiated facts.
 - o Incomplete information.
 - Unsubstantiated conclusions.
 - The proposed typemeans of communicatingon, and to whom it is addressed the information.
 - Whether the parties to whom the <u>communicationinformation</u> is <u>addressed to be</u> provided or access is to be granted are appropriate recipients.
 - Any applicable law or regulation (including those governing privacy) in a jurisdiction where disclosure might take place and, if different, the jurisdiction where the confidential information originates.
 - 114.3 A3 The circumstances in which a firm seeks authorisation to use or disclose confidential information, include where the information is to be used for training purposes, in the development of products or technology, in research or as source material for industry or other benchmarking data or studies. Such authorisation might be general in its application (for example, in relation to use of the information for internal training purposes or quality enhancement initiatives). When obtaining the authorisation of the individual or entity that provided such information for use in specific circumstances, relevant considerations to be communicated (preferably in writing) might include:
 - The nature of the information to be used or disclosed.

- The purpose for which the information is to be used or disclosed (for example, technology development, research or benchmarking data or studies).
- The individual or entity who will undertake the activity for which the information is to be used or disclosed.
- Whether the identity of the individual or entity that provided such information or any individuals or entities to which such information relates will be identifiable from the output of the activity for which the information is to be used or disclosed.
- R114.42 An assurance practitioner shall continue to comply with the principle of confidentiality even after the end of the relationship between the assurance practitioner and a client. When acquiring a new client, the assurance practitioner is entitled to use prior experience but shall not use or disclose any confidential information acquired or received as a result in the course of a professional or business relationship.

. . .

SECTION 120

THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

...

Requirements and Application Material

General

. . .

- **R120.5** When applying the conceptual framework, the assurance practitioner shall:
 - (a) Have an enquiring mind;
 - **(b)** Exercise professional judgement; and
 - (c) Use the reasonable and informed third party test described in paragraph 120.5 A<u>96</u>.

Having an Enquiring Mind

- 120.5 A1 An enquiring mind is a prerequisite to obtaining an understanding of known facts and circumstances necessary for the proper application of the conceptual framework. Having an enquiring mind involves:
 - (a) Considering the source, relevance and sufficiency of information obtained, taking into account the nature, scope and outputs of the professional activity being undertaken; and
 - (b) Being open and alert to a need for further investigation or other action.
- 120.5 A2 When considering the source, relevance and sufficiency of information obtained, the assurance practitioner might consider, among other matters, whether:
 - New information has emerged or there have been changes in facts and circumstances.
 - The information or its source might be influenced by bias or self-interest.
 - There is reason to be concerned that potentially relevant information might be missing from the facts and circumstances known to the assurance practitioner.
 - There is an inconsistency between the known facts and circumstances and the assurance practitioner's expectations.

- The information provides a reasonable basis on which to reach a conclusion.
- There might be other reasonable conclusions that could be reached from the information obtained.
- 120.5 A3 Paragraph R120.5 requires all assurance practitioners to have an enquiring mind when identifying, evaluating and addressing threats to the fundamental principles. This prerequisite for applying the conceptual framework applies to all assurance practitioners regardless of the professional activity undertaken. Under auditing, review and other assurance standards, including those issued by the NZAuASB, assurance practitioners are also required to exercise professional scepticism, which includes a critical assessment of evidence.

Exercising Professional Judgement

- 120.5 A4 Professional judgement involves the application of relevant training, professional knowledge, skill and experience commensurate with the facts and circumstances, taking into account the nature and scope of the particular <u>assurance professional</u> activities, and the interests and relationships involved.
- 120.5 A5 Professional judgement is required when the assurance practitioner applies the conceptual framework in order to make informed decisions about the courses of actions available, and to determine whether such decisions are appropriate in the circumstances. In making this determination, the assurance practitioner might consider matters such as whether:
 - The assurance practitioner's expertise and experience are sufficient to reach a conclusion.
 - There is a need to consult with others with relevant expertise or experience.
 - The assurance practitioner's own preconception or bias might be affecting the assurance practitioner's exercise of professional judgement.
- 120.5 A6 The circumstances in which assurance practitioners carry out professional activities and the factors involved vary considerably in their range and complexity. The professional judgement exercised by assurance practitioners might need to take into account the complexity arising from the compounding effect of the interaction between, and changes in, elements of the facts and circumstances that are uncertain and variables and assumptions that are interconnected or interdependent.

120.5 A7 Managing complexity involves:

- Making the firm and, if appropriate, relevant stakeholders aware of the inherent uncertainties or difficulties arising from the facts and circumstances. (Ref: Para. R113.3)
- Being alert to any developments or changes in the facts and circumstances and assessing whether they might impact any judgements the assurance practitioner has made. (Ref: Para. R120.5 to 120.5 A3, and R120.9 to 120.9 A2)

120.5 A8 Managing complexity might also involve:

- Analysing, and investigating as relevant, any uncertain elements, the variables and assumptions and how they are connected or interdependent.
- <u>Using technology to analyse relevant data to inform the assurance practitioner's judgement.</u>

• Consulting with others, including experts, to ensure appropriate challenge and additional input as part of the evaluation process.

Reasonable and Informed Third Party

120.5 A<u>96</u> The reasonable and informed third party test is a consideration by the assurance practitioner about whether the same conclusions would likely be reached by another party. Such consideration is made from the perspective of a reasonable and informed third party, who weighs all the relevant facts and circumstances that the assurance practitioner knows, or could reasonably be expected to know, at the time the conclusions are made. The reasonable and informed third party does not need to be an assurance practitioner, but would possess the relevant knowledge and experience to understand and evaluate the appropriateness of the assurance practitioner's conclusions in an impartial manner.

. . .

Other Considerations when Applying the Conceptual Framework

Bias

- 120.12 A1 Conscious or unconscious bias affects the exercise of professional judgement when identifying, evaluating and addressing threats to compliance with the fundamental principles.
- 120.12 A2 Examples of potential bias to be aware of when exercising professional judgement include:
 - Anchoring bias, which is a tendency to use an initial piece of information as an anchor against which subsequent information is inadequately assessed.
 - Automation bias, which is a tendency to favour output generated from automated systems, even when human reasoning or contradictory information raises questions as to whether such output is reliable or fit for purpose.
 - Availability bias, which is a tendency to place more weight on events or experiences that immediately come to mind or are readily available than on those that are not.
 - Confirmation bias, which is a tendency to place more weight on information that corroborates an existing belief than information that contradicts or casts doubt on that belief.
 - Groupthink, which is a tendency for a group of individuals to discourage individual creativity and responsibility and as a result reach a decision without critical reasoning or consideration of alternatives.
 - Overconfidence bias, which is a tendency to overestimate one's own ability to make accurate assessments of risk or other judgements or decisions.
 - Representation bias, which is a tendency to base an understanding on a pattern of experiences, events or beliefs that is assumed to be representative.
 - Selective perception, which is a tendency for a person's expectations to influence how the person views a particular matter or person.
- 120.12 A3 Actions that might mitigate the effect of bias include:
 - Seeking advice from experts to provide additional input.
 - Consulting with others to ensure appropriate challenge as part of the evaluation process.

• Receiving training related to the identification of bias as part of professional development.

Organisational Culture

- 120.13 A1 The effective application of the conceptual framework by an assurance practitioner is enhanced when the importance of ethical values that align with the fundamental principles and other provisions set out in the Code is promoted through the internal culture of the assurance practitioner's organisation.
- 120.13 A2 The promotion of an ethical culture within an organisation is most effective when:
 - (a) Leaders and those in managerial roles promote the importance of, and hold themselves and others accountable for demonstrating the ethical values of the organisation;
 - (b) Appropriate education and training programs, management processes, and performance evaluation and reward criteria that promote an ethical culture are in place;
 - (c) Effective policies and procedures are in place to encourage and protect those who report actual or suspected illegal or unethical behaviour, including whistle-blowers; and
 - (d) The organisation adheres to ethical values in its dealings with third parties.

120.13 A3 Assurance practitioners are expected to:

- (a) <u>eEncourage</u> and promote an ethics-based culture in their organisation, taking into account their position and seniority; and
- (b) Exhibit ethical behaviour in dealings with individuals with whom, and entities with which, the assurance practitioner, or the firm has a professional or business relationship.

• • •

PART 2 – ASSURANCE PRACTITIONERS PERFORMING PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES PURSUANT TO THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH THE FIRM

SECTION 200

APPLYING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK – ASSURANCE PRACTITIONERS PERFORMING PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES PURSUANT TO THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH THE FIRM.

. .

- **R200.5** An assurance practitioner shall comply with the fundamental principles set out in Section 110 and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to compliance with the fundamental principles.
- 200.5 A1 An assurance practitioner has a responsibility to further the legitimate objectives of the assurance practitioner's employing organisation. The Code does not seek to hinder assurance practitioners from fulfilling that responsibility, but addresses circumstances in which compliance with the fundamental principles might be compromised.
- 200.5 A2 Assurance practitioners may promote the position of the employing organisation when furthering the legitimate goals and objectives of their employing organisation, provided that any statements made are neither false nor misleading. Such actions usually would not create an advocacy threat.
- 200.5 A3 The more senior the position of an assurance practitioner, the greater will be the ability and opportunity to access information, and to influence policies, decisions made and actions taken by others involved with the employing organisation. To the extent that they are able to do so, taking into account their position and seniority in the organisation, assurance practitioners are expected to encourage and promote an ethics-based culture in the organisation and exhibit ethical behaviour in dealings with individuals with whom, and entities with which, the assurance practitioner or the employing organisation has a professional or business relationship in accordance with paragraph 120.13 A3. Examples of actions that might be taken include the introduction, implementation and oversight of:
 - Ethics education and training programs.
 - <u>Management processes and performance evaluation and reward criteria that promote</u> an ethical culture.
 - Ethics and whistle-blowing policies.
 - Policies and procedures designed to prevent non-compliance with laws and regulations.

Identifying Threats

- 200.6 A1 Threats to compliance with the fundamental principles might be created by a broad range of facts and circumstances. The categories of threats are described in paragraph 120.6 A3. The following are examples of facts and circumstances within each of those categories that might create threats for an assurance practitioner when undertaking a professional activity:
 - (a) Self-interest Threats
 - An assurance practitioner holding a financial interest in, or receiving a loan or guarantee from, the employing organisation.

- An assurance practitioner participating in incentive compensation arrangements offered by the employing organisation.
- An assurance practitioner having access to corporate assets for personal use.
- An assurance practitioner being offered a gift or special treatment from a supplier of the employing organisation.

(b) Self-review Threats

• An assurance practitioner determining the appropriate accounting treatment for a business combination after performing the feasibility study supporting the purchase decision.

(c) Advocacy Threats

• An assurance practitioner having the opportunity to manipulate information in a prospectus in order to obtain favourable financing.

(d) Familiarity Threats

- An assurance practitioner being responsible for the financial reporting of the employing organisation when an immediate or close family member employed by the organisation makes decisions that affect the financial reporting of the organisation.
- An assurance practitioner having a long association with individuals influencing business decisions.

(e) Intimidation Threats

- An assurance practitioner or immediate or close family member facing the threat of dismissal or replacement over a disagreement about:
 - The application of an accounting principle.
 - The way in which financial information is to be reported.
- An individual attempting to influence the decision-making process of the assurance practitioner, for example with regard to the awarding of contracts or the application of an accounting principle.

Identifying Threats Associated with the Use of Technology

200.6 A2 The following are examples of facts and circumstances relating to the use of technology that might create threats for an assurance practitioner when undertaking a professional activity:

• <u>Self-interest Threats</u>

- O The data available might not be sufficient for the effective use of the technology.
- The technology might not be appropriate for the purpose for which it is to be used.
- O The assurance practitioner might not have sufficient information and expertise, or access to an expert with sufficient understanding, to use and explain the technology and its appropriateness for the purpose intended.

(Ref: Para. 230.2).

• Self-review Threats

The technology was designed or developed using the knowledge, expertise or judgement of the assurance practitioner or employing organisation.

Evaluating Threats

- 200.7 A1 The conditions, policies and procedures described in paragraphs 120.6 A1 and 120.8 A2 might impact the evaluation of whether a threat to compliance with the fundamental principles is at an acceptable level.
- 200.7 A2 The assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat is also impacted by the nature and scope of the professional activity.
- 200.7 A3 The assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat might be impacted by the work environment within the employing organisation and its operating environment. For example:
 - Leadership that stresses the importance of ethical behaviour and the expectation that employees will act in an ethical manner.
 - Policies and procedures to empower and encourage employees to communicate ethics issues that concern them to senior levels of management without fear of retribution.
 - Policies and procedures to implement and monitor the quality of employee performance.
 - Systems of corporate oversight or other oversight structures and strong internal controls.
 - Recruitment procedures emphasising the importance of employing high calibre competent personnel.
 - Timely communication of policies and procedures, including any changes to them, to all employees, and appropriate training and education on such policies and procedures.
 - Ethics and code of conduct policies.
- 200.7 A4 Assurance practitioners might consider obtaining legal advice where they believe that unethical behaviour or actions by others have occurred, or will continue to occur, within the employing organisation. The assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat associated with the use of technology might also be impacted by the work environment within the employing organisation and its operating environment. For example:
 - Level of corporate oversight and internal controls over the technology.
 - Assessments of the quality and functionality of technology that are undertaken by a third-party.
 - Training that is provided regularly to all relevant employees, so they obtain and maintain the professional competence to sufficiently understand, use and explain the technology and its appropriateness for the purpose intended.

. . .

SECTION 220

PREPARATION AND PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

. . .

Relying on Using the Work of Others

- **R220.7** An assurance practitioner who intends to <u>rely onuse</u> the work of others, <u>eitherwhether</u> internal or external to the employing organisation, <u>or other organisations</u>, shall exercise professional judgement to determine <u>whatthe appropriate</u> steps to take, if any, in order to fulfil the responsibilities set out in paragraph R220.4.
- 220.7 A1 Factors to consider in determining whether reliance on when an assurance practitioner intends to use the work of others is reasonable include:
 - The reputation and expertise of, and resources available to, the other individual or organisation.
 - Whether the other individual is subject to applicable professional and ethics standards.

Such information might be gained from prior association with, or from consulting others about, the other individual or organisation.

Using the Output of Technology

- R220.8 An assurance practitioner who intends to use the output of technology, whether that technology was developed internally or provided by third parties, shall exercise professional judgement to determine the appropriate steps to take, if any, in order to fulfil the responsibilities set out in paragraph R220.4.
- 220.8 A1 Factors to consider when an assurance practitioner intends to use the output of technology include:
 - The nature of the activity to be performed by the technology.
 - The expected use of, or extent of reliance on, the output of the technology.
 - Whether the assurance practitioner has the ability, or has access to an expert with the ability, to understand, use and explain the technology and its appropriateness for the purpose intended.
 - Whether the technology used has been appropriately tested and evaluated for the purpose intended.
 - Prior experience with the technology and whether its use for specific purposes is generally accepted.
 - The employing organisation's oversight of the design, development, implementation, operation, maintenance, monitoring, updating or upgrading of the technology.
 - The controls relating to the use of the technology, including procedures for authorising user access to the technology and overseeing such use.

• The appropriateness of the inputs to the technology, including data and any related decisions, and decisions made by individuals in the course of using the technology.

. . .

Other Considerations

- 220.11 A1 Where threats to compliance with the fundamental principles relating to the preparation or presentation of information arise from a financial interest, including compensation and incentives linked to financial reporting and decision making, the requirements and application material set out in Section 240 apply.
- 220.11 A2 Where the misleading information might involve non-compliance with laws and regulations, the requirements and application material set out in Section 260 apply.
- 220.11 A3 Where threats to compliance with the fundamental principles relating to the preparation or presentation of information arise from pressure, the requirements and application material set out in Section 270 apply.
- 220.11 A4 When an assurance practitioner is considering using the work of others or the output of technology, a consideration is whether the assurance practitioner is in a position within the employing organisation to obtain information in relation to the factors necessary to determine whether such use is appropriate.

. . .

SECTION 260

RESPONDING TO NON-COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

. .

Responsibilities of Senior Assurance Practitioners

. . .

Determining Whether Further Action Is Needed

. . .

Determining Whether to Disclose the Matter to an Appropriate Authority

. . .

R260.21 If the senior assurance practitioner determines that disclosure of the matter to an appropriate authority is an appropriate course of action in the circumstances, that disclosure is permitted pursuant to paragraph R114.31(d) of the Code. When making such disclosure, the assurance practitioner shall act in good faith and exercise caution when making statements and assertions.

Imminent Breach

R260.22 In exceptional circumstances, the senior assurance practitioner might become aware of actual or intended conduct that the assurance practitioner has reason to believe would constitute an imminent breach of a law or regulation that would cause substantial harm to investors, creditors, employees or the general public. Having first considered whether it

would be appropriate to discuss the matter with management or those charged with governance of the employing organisation, the assurance practitioner shall exercise professional judgement and determine whether to disclose the matter immediately to an appropriate authority in order to prevent or mitigate the consequences of such imminent breach. If disclosure is made, that disclosure is permitted pursuant to paragraph R114.31(d) of the Code.

...

Responsibilities of Assurance Practitioners Other than Senior Assurance Practitioners

• • •

R260.26 In exceptional circumstances, the assurance practitioner may determine that disclosure of the matter to an appropriate authority is an appropriate course of action. If the assurance practitioner does so pursuant to paragraphs 260.20 A2 and A3, that disclosure is permitted pursuant to paragraph R114.31(d) of the Code. When making such disclosure, the assurance practitioner shall act in good faith and exercise caution when making statements and assertions.

• • •

PART 3 – APPLICATION OF THE CODE, FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

SECTION 300

APPLYING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

. .

Requirements and Application Material

General

- **R300.4** An assurance practitioner shall comply with the fundamental principles set out in Section 110 and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to compliance with the fundamental principles.
- **R300.5** When dealing with an ethics issue, the assurance practitioner shall consider the context in which the issue has arisen or might arise. Where an individual who is an assurance practitioner is performing professional activities pursuant to the assurance practitioner's relationship with the firm, whether as a contractor, employee or owner, the individual shall comply with the provisions in Part 2 that apply to these circumstances.
- 300.5 A1 Examples of situations in which the provisions in Part 2 apply to an assurance practitioner include:
 - Facing a conflict of interest when being responsible for selecting a vendor for the firm when an immediate family member of the assurance practitioner might benefit financially from the contract. The requirements and application material set out in Section 210 apply in these circumstances.
 - Preparing or presenting financial information for the assurance practitioner's client or firm. The requirements and application material set out in Section 220 apply in these circumstances.
 - Being offered an inducement such as being regularly offered complimentary tickets to attend sporting events by a supplier of the firm. The requirements and application material set out in Section 250 apply in these circumstances.
 - Facing pressure from an engagement partner to report chargeable hours inaccurately for a client engagement. The requirements and application material set out in Section 270 apply in these circumstances.
- NZ300.5A1.1 The International Independence Standards (New Zealand) prohibit the firm or a network firm from providing accounting and bookkeeping services including preparing financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion or a conclusion or financial information which forms the basis of such financial statements², except in limited circumstances as described in paragraph R601.5. Accordingly, the situation described in the second bullet point of paragraph 300.5 A1 in respect of an assurance practitioner's assurance client would not be permitted.
 - 300.5 A2 The more senior the position of an assurance practitioner, the greater will be the ability and opportunity to access information, and to influence policies, decisions made and actions taken by others involved with the firm. To the extent that they are able to do so, taking into

-

² See Section 601, paragraphs R601.5 and R601.6

account their position and seniority in the firm, assurance practitioners are expected to encourage and promote an ethics-based culture in the firm and exhibit ethical behaviour in dealings with individuals with whom, and entities with which, the assurance practitioner or the firm has a professional or business relationship in accordance with paragraph 120.13 A3. Examples of actions that might be taken include the introduction, implementation and oversight of:

- Ethics education and training programs.
- <u>Firm processes and performance evaluation and reward criteria that promote an</u> ethical culture.
- Ethics and whistle-blowing policies.
- <u>Policies and procedures designed to prevent non-compliance with laws and regulations.</u>

Identifying Threats

300.6 A1 Threats to compliance with the fundamental principles might be created by a broad range of facts and circumstances. The categories of threats are described in paragraph 120.6 A3. The following are examples of facts and circumstances within each of those categories of threats that might create threats for an assurance practitioner when undertaking an assurance service:

(a) Self-interest Threats

- An assurance practitioner having a direct financial interest in a client.
- An assurance practitioner quoting a low fee to obtain a new engagement and the fee is so low that it might be difficult to perform the assurance service in accordance with standards issued by the External Reporting Board, the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board and the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board for that price.
- An assurance practitioner having a close business relationship with a client.
- An assurance practitioner having access to confidential information that might be used for personal gain.
- An assurance practitioner discovering a significant error when evaluating the results of a previous assurance service performed by a member of the assurance practitioner's firm.

(b) Self-review Threats

- An assurance practitioner issuing an assurance report on the effectiveness of the operation of financial systems after implementing the systems.
- An assurance practitioner having prepared the original data used to generate records that are the subject matter of the assurance engagement.

(c) Advocacy Threats

- An assurance practitioner promoting the interests of, or shares in, a client.
- An assurance practitioner acting as an advocate on behalf of a client in litigation or disputes with third parties.
- An assurance practitioner lobbying in favour of legislation on behalf of a client.

(d) Familiarity Threats

- An assurance practitioner having a close or immediate family member who is a director or officer of the client.
- A director or officer of the client, or an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the subject matter of the engagement, having recently served as the engagement partner.
- An audit team member having a long association with the audit client.
- An individual who is being considered to serve as an appropriate reviewer, as a safeguard to address a threat, having a close relationship with an individual who performed the work.

(e) Intimidation Threats

- An assurance practitioner being threatened with dismissal from a client engagement or the firm because of a disagreement about a professional matter.
- An assurance practitioner feeling pressured to agree with the judgement of a client because the client has more expertise on the matter in question.
- An assurance practitioner being informed that a planned promotion will not occur unless the assurance practitioner agrees with an inappropriate accounting treatment.
- An assurance practitioner having accepted a significant gift from a client and being threatened that acceptance of this gift will be made public.

Identifying Threats Associated with the Use of Technology

300.6 A2 The following are examples of facts and circumstances relating to the use of technology that might create threats for an assurance practitioner when undertaking a professional activity:

• Self-interest Threats

- O The data available might not be sufficient for the effective use of the technology.
- O The technology might not be appropriate for the purpose for which it is to be used.
- O The assurance practitioner might not have sufficient information and expertise, or access to an expert with sufficient understanding, to use and explain the technology and its appropriateness for the purpose intended.

(Ref: Para. 230.2).

• Self-review Threats

O The technology was designed or developed using the knowledge, expertise or judgement of the assurance practitioner or the firm.

. .

Evaluating Threats

300.7 A1 The conditions, policies and procedures described in paragraph 120.6 A1 and 120.8 A2 might impact the evaluation of whether a threat to compliance with the fundamental

principles is at an acceptable level. Such conditions, policies and procedures might relate to:

- (a) The client and its operating environment; and
- (b) The firm and its operating environment.
- 300.7 A2 The assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat is also impacted by the nature and scope of the assurance service.

The Client and its Operating Environment

- 300.7 A3 The assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat might be impacted by whether the client is:
 - (a) An audit client and whether the audit client is a public interest entity;
 - (b) An assurance client that is not an audit client; or
 - (c) A non-assurance client.

For example, providing a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity might be perceived to result in a higher level of threat to compliance with the principle of objectivity with respect to the audit.

- 300.7 A4 The corporate governance structure, including the leadership of a client might promote compliance with the fundamental principles. Accordingly, an assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat might also be impacted by a client's operating environment. For example:
 - The client requires appropriate individuals other than management to ratify or approve the appointment of a firm to perform an engagement.
 - The client has competent employees with experience and seniority to make managerial decisions.
 - The client has implemented internal procedures that facilitate objective choices in tendering non-assurance engagements.
 - The client has a corporate governance structure that provides appropriate oversight and communications regarding the firm's services.

The Firm and its Operating Environment

- 300.7 A5 An assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat might be impacted by the work environment within the assurance practitioner's firm and its operating environment. For example:
 - Leadership of the firm that promotes compliance with the fundamental principles and establishes the expectation that assurance team members will act in the public interest.
 - Policies or procedures for establishing and monitoring compliance with the fundamental principles by all personnel.
 - Compensation, performance appraisal and disciplinary policies and procedures that promote compliance with the fundamental principles.
 - Management of the reliance on revenue received from a single client.

- The engagement partner having authority within the firm for decisions concerning compliance with the fundamental principles, including any decisions about accepting or providing services to a client.
- Educational, training and experience requirements.
- Processes to facilitate and address internal and external concerns or complaints.
- 300.7 A6 The assurance practitioner's evaluation of the level of a threat associated with the use of technology might also be impacted by the work environment within the assurance practitioner's firm and its operating environment. For example:
 - Level of corporate oversight and internal controls over the technology.
 - Assessments of the quality and functionality of technology that are undertaken by a third-party.
 - Training that is provided regularly to all relevant employees, so they obtain and maintain the professional competence to sufficiently understand, use and explain the technology and its appropriateness for the purpose intended.

Consideration of New Information or Changes in Facts and Circumstances

300.7 A<u>76</u> New information or changes in facts and circumstances might:

- (a) Impact the level of a threat; or
- (b) Affect the assurance practitioner's conclusions about whether safeguards applied continue to address identified threats as intended.

In these situations, actions that were already implemented as safeguards might no longer be effective in addressing threats. Accordingly, the application of the conceptual framework requires that the assurance practitioner re-evaluate and address the threats accordingly. (Ref: Paras. R120.9 and R120.10).

- 300.7 A<u>8</u>7 Examples of new information or changes in facts and circumstances that might impact the level of a threat include:
 - When the scope of an assurance service is expanded.
 - When the client becomes a FMC reporting entity considered to have a higher level of public accountability or acquires another business unit.
 - When the firm merges with another firm.
 - When the assurance practitioner is jointly engaged by two clients and a dispute emerges between the two clients.
 - When there is a change in the assurance practitioner's personal or immediate family relationships.

. . .

SECTION 320

PROFESSIONAL APPOINTMENTS

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

. . .

Using the Work of an Expert

- When an assurance practitioner intends to use the work of an expert <u>in the course of undertaking a professional activity</u>, the assurance practitioner shall determine whether the use is warranted <u>appropriate for the intended purpose</u>.
- 320.10 A1 Factors to consider when an assurance practitioner intends to use the work of an expert include:
 - <u>tThe</u> reputation and expertise of, and the expert, the resources available to, the expert, and the
 - Whether the expert is subject to applicable professional and ethics standards applicable to the expert.

This Such information might be gained from prior association with the expert, or from consulting others about, the expert.

Using the Output of Technology

- **R320.11** When an assurance practitioner intends to use the output of technology in the course of undertaking a professional activity, the assurance practitioner shall determine whether the use is appropriate for the intended purpose.
- 320.11 A1 Factors to consider when an assurance practitioner intends to use the output of technology include:
 - The nature of the activity to be performed by the technology.
 - The expected use of, or extent of reliance on, the output of the technology.
 - Whether the assurance practitioner has the ability, or access to an expert with the ability, to understand, use and explain the technology and its appropriateness for the purpose intended.
 - Whether the technology used has been appropriately tested and evaluated for the purpose intended.
 - Prior experience with the technology and whether its use for specific purposes is generally accepted.
 - The firm's oversight of the design, development, implementation, operation, maintenance, monitoring, updating or upgrading of the technology.
 - The controls relating to the use of the technology, including procedures for authorising user access to the technology and overseeing such use.
 - The appropriateness of the inputs to the technology, including data and any related decisions, and decisions made by individuals in the course of using the technology.

Other Considerations

320.12 A1 When an assurance practitioner is considering using the work of experts or the output of technology, a consideration is whether the assurance practitioner is in a position within the firm to obtain information in relation to the factors necessary to determine whether such use is appropriate.

SECTION 360

RESPONDING TO NON-COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

. . .

Audits and Reviews of Financial Statements

. . .

Determining Whether Further Action Is Needed

. . .

Determining Whether to Disclose the Matter to an Appropriate Authority

. . .

R360.26 If the assurance practitioner determines that disclosure of the non-compliance or suspected non-compliance to an appropriate authority is an appropriate course of action in the circumstances, that disclosure is permitted pursuant to paragraph R114.31(d) of the Code. When making such disclosure, the assurance practitioner shall act in good faith and exercise caution when making statements and assertions. The assurance practitioner shall also consider whether it is appropriate to inform the client of the assurance practitioner's intentions before disclosing the matter.

Imminent Breach

R360.27 In exceptional circumstances, the assurance practitioner might become aware of actual or intended conduct that the assurance practitioner has reason to believe would constitute an imminent breach of a law or regulation that would cause substantial harm to investors, creditors, employees or the general public. Having first considered whether it would be appropriate to discuss the matter with management or those charged with governance of the entity, the assurance practitioner shall exercise professional judgement and determine whether to disclose the matter immediately to an appropriate authority in order to prevent or mitigate the consequences of such imminent breach. If disclosure is made, that disclosure is permitted pursuant to paragraph R114.31(d) of the Code.

. . .

Assurance Services Other than Audits and Reviews of Financial Statements

. . .

Considering Whether Further Action Is Needed

• • •

R360.37 If the assurance practitioner determines that disclosure of the non-compliance or suspected non-compliance to an appropriate authority is an appropriate course of action in the circumstances, that disclosure is permitted pursuant to paragraph R114.31(d) of the Code. When making such disclosure, the assurance practitioner shall act in good faith and exercise caution when making statements and assertions. The assurance practitioner shall also consider whether it is appropriate to inform the client of the assurance practitioner's intentions before disclosing the matter.

Imminent Breach

R360.38 In exceptional circumstances, the assurance practitioner might become aware of actual or intended conduct that the assurance practitioner has reason to believe would constitute an imminent breach of a law or regulation that would cause substantial harm to investors, creditors, employees or the general public. Having first considered whether it would be appropriate to discuss the matter with management or those charged with governance of the entity, the assurance practitioner shall exercise professional judgement and determine whether to disclose the matter immediately to an appropriate authority in order to prevent or mitigate the consequences of such imminent breach of law or regulation. If disclosure is made, that disclosure is permitted pursuant to paragraph R114.31(d) of the Code.

. . .

INTERNATIONAL INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS (NEW ZEALAND) (PARTS 4A and 4B)

PART 4A – INDEPENDENCE FOR AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENTS

SECTION 400

APPLYING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK TO INDEPENDENCE FOR AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENTS

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

. .

Prohibition on Assuming Management Responsibilities

R400.2013 A firm or a network firm shall not assume a management responsibility for an audit client.

- 400.<u>20</u>13 A1 Management responsibilities involve controlling, leading and directing an entity, including making decisions regarding the acquisition, deployment and control of human, financial, technological, physical and intangible resources.
- 400.<u>2013</u> A2 When a firm or a network firm assumes a management responsibility for an audit client, self-review, self-interest and familiarity threats are created. Assuming a management responsibility might also create an advocacy threat because the firm or network firm becomes too closely aligned with the views and interests of management.
- 400.<u>20</u>13 A3 Determining whether an activity is a management responsibility depends on the circumstances and requires the exercise of professional judgement. Examples of activities that would be considered a management responsibility include:
 - Setting policies and strategic direction.
 - Hiring or dismissing employees.
 - Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of employees in relation to the employees '2work for the entity.
 - Authorising transactions.
 - Controlling or managing bank accounts or investments.
 - Deciding which recommendations of the firm or network firm or other third parties to implement.
 - Reporting to those charged with governance on behalf of management.
 - Taking responsibility for:
 - The preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
 - o Designing, implementing, monitoring or maintaining internal control.
- 400.<u>2013</u> A4 Subject to compliance with paragraph R400.<u>2114</u>, providing advice and recommendations to assist the management of an audit client in discharging its responsibilities is not assuming a management responsibility. The provision of advice and recommendations to an audit client might create a self-review threat and is addressed in

Section 600.

- **R400.2114** When performing a professional activity for an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that client management makes all judgements and decisions that are the proper responsibility of management. This includes ensuring that the client's management:
 - (a) Designates an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge and experience to be responsible at all times for the client's decisions and to oversee the activities. Such an individual, preferably within senior management, would understand:
 - (i) The objectives, nature and results of the activities; and
 - (ii) The respective client and firm or network firm responsibilities.

However, the individual is not required to possess the expertise to perform or reperform the activities.

- **(b)** Provides oversight of the activities and evaluates the adequacy of the results of the activities performed for the client's purpose.
- (c) Accepts responsibility for the actions, if any, to be taken arising from the results of the activities.
- 400.21 A1 When technology is used in performing a professional activity for an audit client, the requirements in paragraphs R400.20 and R400.21 apply regardless of the nature or extent of such use of the technology.

. . .

Related Entities

R400.270 As defined, an audit client that is a FMC reporting entity considered to have a higher level of public accountability includes all of its related entities. For all other entities, references to an audit client in this Part include related entities over which the client has direct or indirect control. When the audit team knows, or has reason to believe, that a relationship or circumstance involving any other related entity of the client is relevant to the evaluation of the firm's independence from the client, the audit team shall include that related entity when identifying, evaluating and addressing threats to independence.

[Paragraphs 400.281 to 400.29 are intentionally left blank]

. . .

SECTION 520

BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

General

- 520.3 A1 This section contains references to the "materiality" of a financial interest and the "significance" of a business relationship. In determining whether such a financial interest is material to an individual, the combined net worth of the individual and the individual's immediate family members may be taken into account.
- 520.3 A2 Examples of a close business relationship arising from a commercial relationship or common financial interest include:

- Having a financial interest in a joint venture with either the client or a controlling owner, director or officer or other individual who performs senior managerial activities for that client.
- Arrangements to combine one or more services or products of the firm or a network firm with one or more services or products of the client and to market the package with reference to both parties.
- <u>Distribution or marketing aArrangements</u> under which the firm or a network firm sells, resells, distributes or markets the client's products or services, or the client sells, resells, distributes or markets the firm's or a network firm's products or services.
- Arrangements under which the firm or a network firm develops jointly with the client, products or solutions which one or both parties sell or license to third parties.
- 520.3 A3 An example that might create a close business relationship, depending on the facts and circumstances, is an arrangement under which the firm or a network firm licenses products or solutions to or from a client.

. . .

Buying Goods or Services

- 520.6 A1 The purchase of goods and services, including the licencing of technology from an audit client by a firm, a network firm, an audit team member, or any of that individual's immediate family does not usually create a threat to independence if the transaction is in the normal course of business and at arm's length. However, such transactions might be of such a nature and magnitude that they create a self-interest threat.
- 520.6 A2 Examples of actions that might eliminate such a self-interest threat include:
 - Eliminating or reducing the magnitude of the transaction.
 - Removing the individual from the audit team.

Providing, Selling, Reselling or Licensing Technology

- 520.7 A1 Where a firm or a network firm provides, sells, resells or licenses technology:
 - (a) To an audit client; or
 - (b) To an entity that provides services using such technology to audit clients of the firm or network firm,

depending on the facts and circumstances, the requirements and application material in Section 600 apply.

. . .

SECTION 600

PROVISION OF NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES TO AN AUDIT CLIENT

Introduction

Firms are required to comply with the fundamental principles, be independent, and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence.

- Firms and network firms might provide a range of non-assurance services to their audit clients, consistent with their skills and expertise. Providing non-assurance services to audit clients might create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and threats to independence.
- This section sets out requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence when providing non-assurance services to audit clients. The subsections that follow set out specific requirements and application material that are relevant when a firm or a network firm provides certain types of non-assurance services to audit clients and indicate the types of threats that might be created as a result.
- Some subsections include requirements that expressly prohibit a firm or a network firm from providing certain services to an audit client because the threats created cannot be eliminated and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce the threats to an acceptable level.
- New business practices, the evolution of financial markets and changes in technology are some developments that make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of non-assurance services that firms and network firms might provide to an audit client. The conceptual framework and the general provisions in this section apply when a firm proposes to a client to provide a non-assurance service for which there are no specific requirements and application material.
- 600.6 The requirements and application material in this section apply where a firm or a network firm:
 - (a) Uses technology to provide a non-assurance service to an audit client; or
 - (b) <u>Provides, sells, resells or licenses technology resulting in the provision of a non-assurance service by the firm or a network firm:</u>
 - (i) To an audit client; or
 - (ii) To an entity that provides services using such technology to audit clients of the firm or network firm.

Requirements and Application Material

General

. . .

Identifying and Evaluating Threats

All Audit Clients

- 600.9 A1 A description of the categories of threats that might arise when a firm or a network firm provides a non-assurance service to an audit client is set out in paragraph 120.6 A3.
- 600.9 A2 Factors that are relevant in identifying the different threats that might be created by providing a non-assurance service to an audit client, and evaluating the level of such threats include:
 - The nature, scope, intended use and purpose of the service.
 - The manner in which the service will be provided, such as the personnel to be involved and their location.

- The client's dependency on the service, including the frequency with which the service will be provided.
- The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided.
- Whether the client is a public interest entity.
- The level of expertise of the client's management and employees with respect to the type of service provided.
- The extent to which the client determines significant matters of judgement. (Ref: Para. R400.2013 to R400.2114).
- Whether the outcome of the service will affect the accounting records or matters reflected in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, and, if so:
 - The extent to which the outcome of the service will have a material effect on the financial statements.
 - O The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate amounts or treatment for those matters reflected in the financial statements.
- The nature and extent of the impact of the service, if any, on the systems that generate information that forms a significant part of the client's:
 - Accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.
 - o Internal controls over financial reporting.
- The degree of reliance that will be placed on the outcome of the service as part of the audit.
- The fee relating to the provision of the non-assurance service.
- 600.9 A3 Subsections 601 to 610 include examples of additional factors that are relevant in identifying threats to independence created by providing certain non-assurance services, and evaluating the level of such threats.

. . .

Self-review threats

- 600.13 A1 When a firm or a network firm provides a non-assurance service to an audit client, there might be a risk of the firm auditing its own or the network firm's work, thereby giving rise to a self-review threat. A self-review threat is the threat that a firm or a network firm will not appropriately evaluate the results of a previous judgement made or an activity performed by an individual within the firm or network firm as part of a non-assurance service on which the audit team will rely when forming a judgement as part of an audit.
- **R600.14** Before providing a non-assurance service to an audit client, a firm or a network firm shall determine whether the provision of that service might create a self-review threat by evaluating whether there is a risk that:
 - (a) The results of the service will form part of or affect the accounting records, the internal controls over financial reporting, or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion; and

(b) In the course of the audit of those financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the audit team will evaluate or rely on any judgements made or activities performed by the firm or network firm when providing the service.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

- 600.15 A1 When the audit client is a public interest entity, stakeholders have heightened expectations regarding the firm's independence. These heightened expectations are relevant to the reasonable and informed third party test used to evaluate a self-review threat created by providing a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity.
- 600.15 A2 Where the provision of a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity creates a self-review threat, that threat cannot be eliminated, and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce that threat to an acceptable level.

Self-review threats

R600.16 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of that service might create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. (Ref: Para. 600.13 A1 and R600.14).

Providing advice and recommendations

- **R600.17** As an exception to paragraph R600.16, a firm or a network firm may provide advice and recommendations to an audit client that is a public interest entity in relation to information or matters arising in the course of an audit provided that the firm:
 - (a) Does not assume a management responsibility (Ref: Para. R400.<u>2013</u> and R400.21<u>14</u>); and
 - (b) Applies the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats, other than self-review threats, to independence that might be created by the provision of that advice.

. .

Considerations for Certain Related Entities

- R600.26 This section includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain non-assurance services to audit clients. As an exception to those requirements and the requirement in paragraph R400.2013, a firm or a network firm may assume management responsibilities or provide certain non-assurance services that would otherwise be prohibited to the following related entities of the client on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion:
 - (a) An entity that has direct or indirect control over the client;
 - (b) An entity with a direct financial interest in the client if that entity has significant influence over the client and the interest in the client is material to such entity; or
 - (c) An entity which is under common control with the client, provided that all of the following conditions are met:
 - (i) The firm or a network firm does not express an opinion on the financial statements of the related entity;

- (ii) The firm or a network firm does not assume a management responsibility, directly or indirectly, for the entity on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion;
- (iii) The services do not create a self-review threat; and
- (iv) The firm addresses other threats created by providing such services that are not at an acceptable level.

. .

SUBSECTION 601 – ACCOUNTING AND BOOKKEEPING SERVICES

Introduction

In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to 600.27 A1 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

. . .

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

- **R601.5** A firm or a network firm shall not provide to an audit client that is not a public interest entity accounting and bookkeeping services, including preparing financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion or financial information which forms the basis of such financial statements, unless:
 - (a) The services are of a routine or mechanical nature; and
 - **(b)** The firm addresses any threats that are not at an acceptable level.
- 601.5 A1 Accounting and bookkeeping services that are routine or mechanical:
 - (a) Involve information, data or material in relation to which the client has made any judgements or decisions that might be necessary; and
 - (b) Require little or no professional judgement.
- 601.5 A2 Accounting and bookkeeping services can either be manual or automated. In determining whether an automated service is routine or mechanical, factors to be considered include the activities performed by, and the output of, the technology, and whether the technology provides an automated service that is based on or requires the expertise or judgement of the firm or network firm.
- 601.5 A<u>32</u> Examples of services, whether manual or automated, that might be regarded as routine or mechanical include:
 - Preparing payroll calculations or reports based on client-originated data for approval and payment by the client.
 - Recording recurring transactions for which amounts are easily determinable from source documents or originating data, such as a utility bill where the client has determined or approved the appropriate account classification.
 - Calculating depreciation on fixed assets when the client determines the accounting policy and estimates of useful life and residual values.

- Posting transactions coded by the client to the general ledger.
- Posting client-approved entries to the trial balance.
- Preparing financial statements based on information in the client-approved trial balance and preparing related notes based on client-approved records.

The firm or a network firm may provide such services to audit clients that are not public interest entities provided that the firm or network firm complies with the requirements of paragraph R400.2114 to ensure that it does not assume a management responsibility in connection with the service and with the requirement in paragraph R601.5 (b).

- 601.5 A<u>43</u> Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address a self-review threat created when providing accounting and bookkeeping services of a routine or mechanical nature to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:
 - Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
 - Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed.

. .

SUBSECTION 605 - INTERNAL AUDIT SERVICES

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

. . .

Risk of Assuming Management Responsibility When Providing an Internal Audit Service

R605.3 Paragraph R400.<u>2013</u> precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. When providing an internal audit service to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:

. .

SUBSECTION 606 – INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS SERVICES

Introduction

In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to 600.27 A1 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an information technology (IT) systems service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

606.2 A1 IT systems services comprise a broad range of services including:

- Designing or developing hardware or software IT systems.
- <u>Implementing IT systems, including installation, configuration, interfacing, or customisation.</u>
- Operating, maintaining, monitoring, updating or upgrading IT systems.

- Collecting or storing data or managing (directly or indirectly) the hosting of data.
- 606.2 A<u>2</u>1 Services related to IT systems include the design or implementation of hardware or software systems. The IT systems might:
 - (a) Aggregate source data;
 - (b) Form part of the internal control over financial reporting; or
 - (c) Generate information that affects the accounting records or financial statements, including related disclosures.

However, the IT systems might also involve matters that are unrelated to the audit client's accounting records or the internal control over financial reporting or financial statements.

Risk of Assuming Management Responsibility When Providing an IT Systems Service

- **R606.3** Paragraph R400.<u>2013</u> precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. When providing IT systems services to an audit client, the firm or network firm shall be satisfied that:
 - (a) The client acknowledges its responsibility for establishing and monitoring a system of internal controls;
 - (b) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation of the hardware or software system to a competent employee, preferably within senior management;
 - (**be**) The client, through a competent individual (or individuals), preferably within senior management, makes all management decisions that are the proper responsibility of management with respect to the design and, development, implementation, operation, maintenance, monitoring, updating or upgrading of the IT systems process;
 - (cd) The client evaluates the adequacy and results of the design, development, and implementation, operation, maintenance, monitoring, updating or upgrading of the IT system; and
 - (**de**) The client is responsible for operating the <u>IT</u> system (hardware or software) and for the data it generates and uses or generates.
- 606.3 A1 Examples of IT systems services that result in the assumption of a management responsibility include where a firm or a network firm:
 - Stores data or manages (directly or indirectly) the hosting of data on behalf of the audit client. Such services include:
 - Acting as the only access to a financial or non-financial information system of the audit client.
 - O Taking custody of or storing the audit client's data or records such that the audit client's data or records are otherwise incomplete.
 - O Providing electronic security or back-up services, such as business continuity or a disaster recovery function, for the audit client's data or records.
 - Operates, maintains, or monitors the audit client's IT systems, network or website.
- 606.3 A2 The collection, receipt, transmission and retention of data provided by an audit client in the course of an audit or to enable the provision of a permissible service to that client does not result in an assumption of management responsibility.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of IT Systems Services

All Audit Clients

- 606.4 A1 Providing IT systems services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when there is a risk that the results of the services will affect the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.
- 606.4 A2 Providing the following IT systems services to an audit client does not usually create a threat as long as individuals within the firm or network firm do not assume a management responsibility:
 - (a) Designing or implementing IT systems that are unrelated to internal control over financial reporting;
 - (b) Designing or implementing IT systems that do not generate information forming part of the accounting records or financial statements; and
 - (c) Implementing "off-the-shelf" accounting or financial information reporting software that was not developed by the firm or network firm, if the customisation required to meet the client's needs is not significant.
- 606.4 A<u>2</u>3 Factors that are relevant in identifying a self-review threat created by providing an IT systems service to an audit client, and evaluating the level of such a threat include:
 - The nature of the service.
 - The nature of the client's IT systems and the extent to which the IT systems service impacts or interacts with the client's accounting records, internal controls over financial reporting or financial statements.
 - The degree of reliance that will be placed on the particular IT systems as part of the audit.

When a self-review threat for an audit client that is a public interest entity has been identified, paragraph R606.6 applies.

- 606.4 A3 Examples of IT systems services that create a self-review threat when they form part of or affect an audit client's accounting records or system of internal control over financial reporting include:
 - <u>Designing, developing, implementing, operating, maintaining, monitoring, updating or upgrading IT systems, including those related to cybersecurity.</u>
 - Supporting an audit client's IT systems, including network and software applications.
 - <u>Implementing accounting or financial information reporting software, whether or not</u> it was developed by the firm or a network firm.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

606.5 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address a self-review threat created by the provision of an IT systems service to an audit client that is not a public interest entity is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

- **R606.6** A firm or a network firm shall not provide IT systems services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services might create a self-review threat. (Ref: Para. R600.14 and R600.16).
- 606.6 A1 Examples of services that are prohibited because they give rise to a self-review threat include those involving designing or implementing IT systems that:
 - Form part of the internal control over financial reporting; or
 - Generate information for the client's accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

. . .

SUBSECTION 609 – RECRUITING SERVICES

. . .

Risk of Assuming Management Responsibility When Providing a Recruiting Service

R609.3 Paragraph R400.<u>2013</u> precludes a firm or network firm from assuming a management responsibility. When providing a recruiting service to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:

. . .

PART 4B – INDEPENDENCE FOR ASSURANCE ENGAGEMENTS OTHER THAN AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENTS

SECTION 900

APPLYING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK TO INDEPENDENCE FOR ASSURANCE ENGAGEMENTS OTHER THAN AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENTS

Introduction

General

- This Part applies to assurance engagements other than audit engagements and review engagements. Examples of such engagements include:
 - Assurance on an entity's key performance indicators.
 - Assurance on an entity's compliance with law or regulation.
 - Assurance on performance criteria, such as value for money, achieved by a public sector body.
 - Assurance on the effectiveness of an entity's system of internal control.
 - Assurance on an entity's <u>non-financial information</u>, <u>for example</u>, <u>environmental</u>, <u>social and governance disclosures</u>, <u>including greenhouse gas statements</u>.
 - An audit of specific elements, accounts or items of a financial statement.

. . .

Requirements and Application Material

. . .

Prohibition on Assuming Management Responsibilities

- **R900.13** A firm shall not assume a management responsibility related to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of an assurance engagement provided by the firm. If the firm assumes a management responsibility as part of any other service provided to the assurance client, the firm shall ensure that the responsibility is not related to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of the assurance engagement provided by the firm.
- 900.13 A1 Management responsibilities involve controlling, leading and directing an entity, including making decisions regarding the acquisition, deployment and control of human, financial, technological, physical and intangible resources.
- 900.13 A2 When a firm assumes a management responsibility related to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of an assurance engagement, self-review, self-interest and familiarity threats are created. Assuming a management responsibility might create an advocacy threat because the firm becomes too closely aligned with the views and interests of management.
- 900.13 A3 Determining whether an activity is a management responsibility depends on the circumstances and requires the exercise of professional judgement. Examples of activities that would be considered a management responsibility include:

- Setting policies and strategic direction.
- Hiring or dismissing employees.
- Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of employees in relation to the employees' work for the entity.
- Authorising transactions.
- Controlling or managing bank accounts or investments.
- Deciding which recommendations of the firm or other third parties to implement.
- Reporting to those charged with governance on behalf of management.
- Taking responsibility for designing, implementing, monitoring and maintaining internal control.
- 900.13 A4 Examples of IT systems services that result in the assumption of a management responsibility in relation to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of an assurance engagement, include where a firm:
 - Stores data or manages (directly or indirectly) the hosting of data related to the underlying subject matter or subject matter information. Such services include:
 - Acting as the only access to the data or records related to the underlying subject matter or subject matter information.
 - O Taking custody of or storing the data or records related to the underlying subject matter or subject matter information such that the assurance client's data or records are otherwise incomplete.
 - O Providing electronic security or back-up services, such as business continuity or a disaster recovery function, for the assurance client's data or records related to the underlying subject matter or subject matter information.
 - Operates, maintains, or monitors an assurance client's IT systems, network or website related to the underlying subject matter or subject matter information.
- 900.13 A5 The collection, receipt, transmission and retention of data provided by an assurance client in the course of an assurance engagement or to enable the provision of a permissible non-assurance service to the assurance client does not result in an assumption of management responsibility.
- 900.13 A<u>6</u>4 Subject to compliance with paragraph R900.14, providing advice and recommendations to assist the management of an assurance client in discharging its responsibilities is not assuming a management responsibility.
- **R900.14** When performing a professional activity for an assurance client that is related to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of the assurance engagement, the firm shall be satisfied that client management makes all related judgements and decisions that are the proper responsibility of management. This includes ensuring that the client's management:
 - (a) Designates an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge and experience to be responsible at all times for the client's decisions and to oversee the activities. Such an individual, preferably within senior management, would understand:
 - (i) The objectives, nature and results of the activities; and

- (ii) The respective client and firm responsibilities.
- However, the individual is not required to possess the expertise to perform or reperform the activities.
- **(b)** Provides oversight of the activities and evaluates the adequacy of the results of the activity performed for the client's purpose; and
- (c) Accepts responsibility for the actions, if any, to be taken arising from the results of the activities.
- 900.14 A1 When technology is used in performing a professional activity for an assurance client, the requirements in paragraphs R900.13 and R900.14 apply regardless of the nature or extent of such use of the technology.

. . .

SECTION 920

BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS

• •

Requirements and Application Material

General

- 920.3 A1 This section contains references to the "materiality" of a financial interest and the "significance" of a business relationship. In determining whether such a financial interest is material to an individual, the combined net worth of the individual and the individual's immediate family members may be taken into account.
- 920.3 A2 Examples of a close business relationship arising from a commercial relationship or common financial interest include:
 - Having a financial interest in a joint venture with either the assurance client or a
 controlling owner, director or officer or other individual who performs senior
 managerial activities for that client.
 - Arrangements to combine one or more services or products of the firm with one or more services or products of the client and to market the package with reference to both parties.
 - <u>Distribution or marketing aArrangements</u> under which the firm <u>sells, resells,</u> distributes or markets the client's products or services, or the client <u>sells, resells,</u> distributes or markets the firm's products or services.
 - Arrangements under which a firm develops jointly with the client, products or solutions which one or both parties sell or license to third parties.
- 920.3 A3 An example that might create a close business relationship, depending on the facts and circumstances, is an arrangement under which the firm licenses products or solutions to or from the assurance client.

. .

Buying Goods or Services

920.5 A1 The purchase of goods and services, including the licensing of technology from an assurance client by a firm, or an assurance team member, or any of that individual's

immediate family does not usually create a threat to independence if the transaction is in the normal course of business and at arm's length. However, such transactions might be of such a nature and magnitude that they create a self-interest threat.

920.5 A2 Examples of actions that might eliminate such a self-interest threat include:

- Eliminating or reducing the magnitude of the transaction.
- Removing the individual from the assurance team.

Providing, Selling, Reselling or Licensing Technology

920.6 A1 Where a firm provides, sells, resells or licenses technology:

- (a) To an assurance client; or
- (b) To an entity that provides services using such technology to assurance clients of the firm,

depending on the facts and circumstances, the requirements and application material in Section 950 apply.

. . .

SECTION 950

PROVISION OF NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES TO ASSURANCE CLIENTS OTHER THAN AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENT CLIENTS

Introduction

- Firms are required to comply with the fundamental principles, be independent, and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence.
- Firms might provide a range of non-assurance services to their assurance clients, consistent with their skills and expertise. Providing certain non-assurance services to assurance clients might create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and threats to independence.
- 950.3 This section sets out requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence when providing non-assurance services to assurance clients.
- New business practices, the evolution of financial markets and changes in technology are some developments that make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of non-assurance services that might be provided to an assurance client. The conceptual framework and the general provisions in this section apply when a firm proposes to a client to provide a non-assurance service for which there are no specific requirements and application material.
- 950.5 The requirements and application material in this section apply where a firm:
 - (a) Uses technology to provide a non-assurance service to an assurance client; or
 - (b) Provides, sells, resells or licenses technology that provides a non-assurance service:
 - (i) To an assurance client; or

(ii) To an entity that provides services using such technology to assurance clients of the firm.

Requirements and Application Material

General

. . .

Identifying and Evaluating Threats

- 950.7 A1 A description of the categories of threats that might arise when a firm provides a non-assurance service to an assurance client is set out in paragraph 120.6 A3.
- 950.7 A2 Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of threats created by providing a non-assurance service to an assurance client include:
 - The nature, scope, intended use and purpose of the service.
 - The manner in which the service will be provided, such as the personnel to be involved and their location.
 - The client's dependency on the service, including the frequency with which the service will be provided
 - The degree of reliance that will be placed on the outcome of the service as part of the assurance engagement.
 - The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided.
 - Whether the client is a public interest entity.
 - The level of expertise of the client's management and employees with respect to the type of service provided.
 - Whether the outcome of the service will affect the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, matters reflected in the subject matter information of the assurance engagement, and, if so:
 - O The extent to which the outcome of the service will have a material effect on the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of the assurance engagement.
 - The extent to which the assurance client determines significant matters of judgement (Ref: Para. R900.13 to R900.14).
 - The level of expertise of the client's management and employees with respect to the type of service provided.
 - The degree of reliance that will be placed on the outcome of the service as part of the assurance engagement.
 - The fee relating to the provision of the non-assurance service.

. . .

Self-Review Threats

950.10 A1 A self-review threat might be created if, in an attestation engagement, the firm is involved in the preparation of subject matter information which subsequently becomes the subject matter information of an assurance engagement. Examples of non-assurance services that

might create such self-review threats when providing services related to the subject matter information of an assurance engagement include:

- (a) Developing and preparing prospective information and subsequently issuing an assurance report on this information.
- (b) Performing a valuation that is related to or forms part of the subject matter information of an assurance engagement.
- (c) Designing, developing, implementing, operating, maintaining, monitoring, updating or upgrading IT systems or IT controls and subsequently undertaking an assurance engagement on a statement or report prepared about the IT systems or IT controls.
- (d) Designing, developing, implementing, operating, maintaining, monitoring, updating or upgrading IT systems and subsequently issuing an assurance report on subject matter information, such as elements of non-financial information, that is prepared from information generated by such IT systems.

Assurance clients that are public interest entities

- 950.11 A1 Expectations about a firm's independence are heightened when an assurance engagement is undertaken by a firm for a public interest entity and the results of that engagement will be:
 - (a) Made available publicly, including to shareholders and other stakeholders; or
 - (b) Provided to an entity or organisation established by law or regulation to oversee the operation of a business sector or activity.

Consideration of these expectations forms part of the reasonable and informed third party test applied when determining whether to provide a non-assurance service to an assurance client.

950.11 A2 If a self-review threat exists in relation to an engagement undertaken in the circumstances described in paragraph 950.11 A1 (b), the firm is encouraged to disclose the existence of that self-review threat and the steps taken to address it to the party engaging the firm or those charged with governance of the assurance client and to the entity or organisation established by law or regulation to oversee the operation of a business sector or activity to which the results of the engagement will be provided.

. . .

GLOSSARY

[NZ] Audit Client

An entity in respect of which a firm conducts an audit engagement. When the client is a FMC reporting entity considered to have a higher level of public accountability, audit client will always include its related entities. When the audit client is not a FMC reporting entity considered to have a higher level of public accountability, audit client includes those related entities over which the client has direct or indirect control. (See also paragraph R400.207.)

In Part 4A, the term "audit client" applies equally to "review client." In the case of a group audit, see the definition of group audit client.

Confidential information

Any information, data or other material in whatever form or medium (including written, electronic, visual or oral) that is not publicly available.

[NZ] FMC reporting entity considered to have a higher level of public accountability

A FMC reporting entity of a class of FMC reporting entity that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities:

- Under section 461K of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013; or
- By notice issued by the Financial Markets Authority under section 461L(1)(1)(a) of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013.

Fundamental principles This term is described in paragraph 110.1 A1. Each of the fundamental principles is, in turn, described in the following paragraphs:

> R111.1 Integrity R112.1 *Objectivity* Professional competence and due care R113.1

Confidentiality R114.1 to R114.3

Professional behaviour R115.1

[NZ] Group audit client

The entity on whose group financial statements the group auditor firm conducts an audit engagement. When the entity is a FMC reporting entity considered to have a higher level of public accountability, the group audit client will always include its related entities and any other components at which audit work is performed. When the entity is not a FMC reporting entity considered to have a higher level of public accountability, the group audit client includes related entities over which such entity has

direct or indirect control and any other components at which audit work is performed.

See also paragraph R400.207.

. .

Reasonable and informed third party

The reasonable and informed third party test is a consideration by the professional accountantassurance practitioner about whether the same conclusions would likely be reached by another party. Such consideration is made from the perspective of a reasonable and informed third party, who weighs all the relevant facts and circumstances that the accountant knows, or could reasonably be expected to know, at the time that the conclusions are made. The reasonable and informed third party does not need to be an accountantassurance practitioner, but would possess the relevant knowledge and experience to understand and evaluate the appropriateness of the accountant's assurance practitioner's conclusions in an impartial manner.

These terms are described in paragraph R120.5 A94.

. . .