

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)



NZ ACCOUNTING
STANDARDS
BOARD

NZ IFRIC Interpretation 2 (Diff Rep)

Members' Shares in Co-operative Entities and Similar Instruments (NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep))

Issued November 2012 excluding consequential amendments resulting from early adoption of NZ IFRS 9 (2010) (Diff Rep) *Financial Instruments* and NZ IFRS 13 (Diff Rep) *Fair Value Measurement*

This Interpretation was issued by the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board of the External Reporting Board pursuant to section 24(1)(a) of the Financial Reporting Act 1993.

This Interpretation is a Regulation for the purposes of the Regulations (Disallowance) Act 1989.

As at 1 December 2012, the requirements in this Interpretation are identical to the requirements in NZ IFRIC 2 *Members' Shares in Co-operative Entities and Similar Instruments* as applied by qualifying entities. Versions of NZ IFRIC 2 applied by qualifying entities prior to adoption of this Interpretation are available in the Archived Standards page of the External Reporting Board (XRB) website at xrb.govt.nz

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

COPYRIGHT

© External Reporting Board (“XRB”) 2012

This XRB standard contains International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

All existing rights (including copyrights) in this material outside of New Zealand are reserved by the IFRS Foundation. Reproduction of XRB standards outside of New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside New Zealand should be addressed to the IFRS Foundation.

ISBN 978-1-927237-74-8

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

CONTENTS

NZ IFRIC INTERPRETATION 2 (Diff Rep)
MEMBERS' SHARES IN CO-OPERATIVE ENTITIES AND
SIMILAR INSTRUMENTS (NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep))

Paragraphs

HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

REFERENCES

BACKGROUND

1–2

SCOPE

NZ 2.1–3

ISSUE

4

CONSENSUS

5–12

DISCLOSURE

13

EFFECTIVE DATE

14–NZ 14.1

APPENDIX

Examples of application of the consensus

IFRIC BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

Table of Pronouncements – NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) *Members' Shares in Co-operative Entities and Similar Instruments*

This table lists the pronouncement establishing NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep).

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods... on or after ...)
NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) <i>Members' Shares in Co-operative Entities and Similar Instruments</i>	Nov 2012	Early application permitted	1 Dec 2012

Table of Amended Paragraphs in NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)		
Paragraph affected	How affected	By ... [date]
Paragraph NZ 2.1	Inserted	NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) [Nov 2012]
Paragraphs 14–14A	Deleted	NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) [Nov 2012]
Paragraph NZ 14.1	Inserted	NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) [Nov 2012]

The following tables list the pronouncements establishing and substantially amending NZ IFRIC 2 as applied by PBEs prior to the issue of this Interpretation as NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) other than consequential amendments resulting from the early adoption of NZ IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* (2010) and NZ IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement*.

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods... on or after ...)
NZ IFRIC 2 <i>Members' Share in Co-operative Entities and Similar Instruments</i>	April 2005	1 Jan 2005	1 Jan 2007
NZ IAS 1 <i>Presentation of Financial Statements</i> (revised 2007)	Nov 2007	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2009

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods... on or after ...)
Amendments to NZ IAS 32 and NZ IAS 1 <i>Puttable Financial Instruments and Obligations Arising on Liquidation</i>	Feb 2008	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2009

Table of Amended Paragraphs in NZ IFRIC 2		
Paragraph affected	How affected	By ... [date]
Various in Appendix	Terminology changed	NZ IAS 1 [Nov 2007]
Paragraph 6	Amended	Amendments to NZ IAS 32 and NZ IAS 1 [Feb 2008]
Paragraph 9	Amended	Amendments to NZ IAS 32 and NZ IAS 1 [Feb 2008]
Paragraph 14A	Inserted	Amendments to NZ IAS 32 and NZ IAS 1 [Feb 2008]
Paragraph A1	Amended	Amendments to NZ IAS 32 and NZ IAS 1 [Feb 2008]
Paragraph A12	Amended	Amendments to NZ IAS 32 and NZ IAS 1 [Feb 2008]

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

NZ IFRIC Interpretation 2 (Diff Rep) *Members' Shares in Co-operatives and Similar Instruments* (NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)) is set out in paragraphs 1–NZ 14.1 and the Appendix.

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) is based on IFRIC Interpretation 2 *Members' Shares in Co-operatives and Similar Instruments* (IFRIC 2). NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) should be read in the context of the IFRIC's Basis of Conclusions on IFRIC 2.

Any additional material is shown with grey shading and the paragraphs are denoted with "NZ".

Differential Reporting

Qualifying entities must comply with all the provisions in NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep).

NZ IFRIC Interpretation 2 (Diff Rep)

Members' Shares in Co-operative Entities and Similar Instruments

(NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep))

References

- NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) *Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation*
- NZ IAS 39 (Diff Rep) *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep) is identical to NZ IFRIC 2 applied by qualifying entities prior to the issuance of NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep). That is, there is no change to the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure requirements of NZ IFRIC 2 on adoption of this Interpretation.

Background

- 1 Co-operatives and other similar entities are formed by groups of persons to meet common economic or social needs. National laws typically define a co-operative as a society endeavouring to promote its members' economic advancement by way of a joint business operation (the principle of self-help). Members' interests in a co-operative are often characterised as members' shares, units or the like, and are referred to below as 'members' shares'.
- 2 NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) establishes principles for the classification of financial instruments as financial liabilities or equity. In particular, those principles apply to the classification of puttable instruments that allow the holder to put those instruments to the issuer for cash or another financial instrument. The application of those principles to members' shares in co-operative entities and similar instruments is difficult. Some of the International Accounting Standards Board's constituents have asked for help in understanding how the principles in NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) apply to members' shares and similar instruments that have certain features, and the circumstances in which those features affect the classification as liabilities or equity.

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

Scope

NZ 2.1 This Interpretation applies only to Tier 3 for-profit entities.

- 3 This Interpretation applies to financial instruments within the scope of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep), including financial instruments issued to members of co-operative entities that evidence the members' ownership interest in the entity. This Interpretation does not apply to financial instruments that will or may be settled in the entity's own equity instruments.

Issue

- 4 Many financial instruments, including members' shares, have characteristics of equity, including voting rights and rights to participate in dividend distributions. Some financial instruments give the holder the right to request redemption for cash or another financial asset, but may include or be subject to limits on whether the financial instruments will be redeemed. How should those redemption terms be evaluated in determining whether the financial instruments should be classified as liabilities or equity?

Consensus

- 5 The contractual right of the holder of a financial instrument (including members' shares in co-operative entities) to request redemption does not, in itself, require that financial instrument to be classified as a financial liability. Rather, the entity must consider all of the terms and conditions of the financial instrument in determining its classification as a financial liability or equity. Those terms and conditions include relevant local laws, regulations and the entity's governing charter in effect at the date of classification, but not expected future amendments to those laws, regulations or charter.
- 6 Members' shares that would be classified as equity if the members did not have a right to request redemption are equity if either of the conditions described in paragraphs 7 and 8 is present or the members' shares have all the features and meet the conditions in paragraphs 16A and 16B or paragraphs 16C and 16D of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep). Demand deposits, including current accounts, deposit accounts and similar contracts that arise when members act as customers are financial liabilities of the entity.
- 7 Members' shares are equity if the entity has an unconditional right to refuse redemption of the members' shares.
- 8 Local law, regulation or the entity's governing charter can impose various types of prohibitions on the redemption of members' shares, eg unconditional prohibitions or prohibitions based on liquidity criteria. If redemption is

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

unconditionally prohibited by local law, regulation or the entity's governing charter, members' shares are equity. However, provisions in local law, regulation or the entity's governing charter that prohibit redemption only if conditions-such as liquidity constraints-are met (or are not met) do not result in members' shares being equity.

- 9 An unconditional prohibition may be absolute, in that all redemptions are prohibited. An unconditional prohibition may be partial, in that it prohibits redemption of members' shares if redemption would cause the number of members' shares or amount of paid-in capital from members' shares to fall below a specified level. Members' shares in excess of the prohibition against redemption are liabilities, unless the entity has the unconditional right to refuse redemption as described in paragraph 7 or the members' shares have all the features and meet the conditions in paragraphs 16A and 16B or paragraphs 16C and 16D of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep). In some cases, the number of shares or the amount of paid-in capital subject to a redemption prohibition may change from time to time. Such a change in the redemption prohibition leads to a transfer between financial liabilities and equity.
- 10 At initial recognition, the entity shall measure its financial liability for redemption at fair value. In the case of members' shares with a redemption feature, the entity measures the fair value of the financial liability for redemption at no less than the maximum amount payable under the redemption provisions of its governing charter or applicable law discounted from the first date that the amount could be required to be paid (see example 3).
- 11 As required by paragraph 35 of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep), distributions to holders of equity instruments are recognised directly in equity, net of any income tax benefits. Interest, dividends and other returns relating to financial instruments classified as financial liabilities are expenses, regardless of whether those amounts paid are legally characterised as dividends, interest or otherwise.
- 12 The Appendix, which is an integral part of the consensus, provides examples of the application of this consensus.

Disclosure

- 13 When a change in the redemption prohibition leads to a transfer between financial liabilities and equity, the entity shall disclose separately the amount, timing and reason for the transfer.

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

Effective date

14–14A [Deleted]

NZ 14.1 A Tier 3 for-profit entity shall apply this Interpretation for annual periods beginning on or after 1 December 2012. Early application is permitted. This Interpretation replaces NZ IFRIC 2 as applied by qualifying entities prior to the issuance of this Interpretation. There are no changes to the requirements of NZ IFRIC 2 as it applied to qualifying entities.

IFRIC Basis for Conclusions

BC1–BC25 [Paragraphs BC1–BC25 do not form part of NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep).]

Appendix

Examples of application of the consensus

This appendix is an integral part of the Interpretation.

- A1 This appendix sets out seven examples of the application of the IFRIC consensus. The examples do not constitute an exhaustive list; other fact patterns are possible. Each example assumes that there are no conditions other than those set out in the facts of the example that would require the financial instrument to be classified as a financial liability and that the financial instrument does not have all the features or does not meet the conditions in paragraphs 16A and 16B or paragraphs 16C and 16D of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep).

Unconditional right to refuse redemption (paragraph 7)

Example 1

Facts

- A2 The entity's charter states that redemptions are made at the sole discretion of the entity. The charter does not provide further elaboration or limitation on that discretion. In its history, the entity has never refused to redeem members' shares, although the governing board has the right to do so.

Classification

- A3 The entity has the unconditional right to refuse redemption and the members' shares are equity. NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) establishes principles for classification that are based on the terms of the financial instrument and notes that a history of, or intention to make, discretionary payments does not trigger liability classification. Paragraph AG26 of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) states:

When preference shares are non-redeemable, the appropriate classification is determined by the other rights that attach to them. Classification is based on an assessment of the substance of the contractual arrangements and the definitions of a financial liability and an equity instrument. When distributions to holders of the preference shares, whether cumulative or non-cumulative, are at the discretion of the issuer, the shares are equity instruments. The classification of a preference share as an equity instrument or a financial liability is not affected by, for example:

- (a) a history of making distributions;
- (b) an intention to make distributions in the future;

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

- (c) a possible negative impact on the price of ordinary shares of the issuer if distributions are not made (because of restrictions on paying dividends on the ordinary shares if dividends are not paid on the preference shares);
- (d) the amount of the issuer's reserves;
- (e) an issuer's expectation of a profit or loss for a period; or
- (f) an ability or inability of the issuer to influence the amount of its profit or loss for the period.

Example 2

Facts

- A4 The entity's charter states that redemptions are made at the sole discretion of the entity. However, the charter further states that approval of a redemption request is automatic unless the entity is unable to make payments without violating local regulations regarding liquidity or reserves.

Classification

- A5 The entity does not have the unconditional right to refuse redemption and the members' shares are a financial liability. The restrictions described above are based on the entity's ability to settle its liability. They restrict redemptions only if the liquidity or reserve requirements are not met and then only until such time as they are met. Hence, they do not, under the principles established in NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep), result in the classification of the financial instrument as equity. Paragraph AG25 of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) states:

Preference shares may be issued with various rights. In determining whether a preference share is a financial liability or an equity instrument, an issuer assesses the particular rights attaching to the share to determine whether it exhibits the fundamental characteristic of a financial liability. For example, a preference share that provides for redemption on a specific date or at the option of the holder contains a financial liability because the issuer has an obligation to transfer financial assets to the holder of the share. *The potential inability of an issuer to satisfy an obligation to redeem a preference share when contractually required to do so, whether because of a lack of funds, a statutory restriction or insufficient profits or reserves, does not negate the obligation.* [Emphasis added]

Prohibitions against redemption (paragraphs 8 and 9)

Example 3

Facts

A6 A co-operative entity has issued shares to its members at different dates and for different amounts in the past as follows:

- (a) 1 January 20X1 100,000 shares at CU10 each (CU1,000,000);
- (b) 1 January 20X2 100,000 shares at CU20 each (a further CU2,000,000, so that the total for shares issued is CU3,000,000).

Shares are redeemable on demand at the amount for which they were issued.

A7 The entity's charter states that cumulative redemptions cannot exceed 20 per cent of the highest number of its members' shares ever outstanding. At 31 December 20X2 the entity has 200,000 of outstanding shares, which is the highest number of members' shares ever outstanding and no shares have been redeemed in the past. On 1 January 20X3 the entity amends its governing charter and increases the permitted level of cumulative redemptions to 25 per cent of the highest number of its members' shares ever outstanding.

Classification

Before the governing charter is amended

A8 Members' shares in excess of the prohibition against redemption are financial liabilities. The co-operative entity measures this financial liability at fair value at initial recognition. Because these shares are redeemable on demand, the co-operative entity determines the fair value of such financial liabilities as required by paragraph 49 of NZ IAS 39 (Diff Rep), which states: 'The fair value of a financial liability with a demand feature (eg a demand deposit) is not less than the amount payable on demand ...' Accordingly, the co-operative entity classifies as financial liabilities the maximum amount payable on demand under the redemption provisions.

A9 On 1 January 20X1 the maximum amount payable under the redemption provisions is 20,000 shares at CU10 each and accordingly the entity classifies CU200,000 as financial liability and CU800,000 as equity. However, on 1 January 20X2 because of the new issue of shares at CU20, the maximum amount payable under the redemption provisions increases to 40,000 shares at CU20 each. The issue of additional shares at CU20 creates a new liability that is measured on initial recognition at fair value. The liability after these shares have been issued is 20 per cent of the total shares in issue (200,000), measured at CU20, or CU800,000. This requires recognition of an additional liability of CU600,000. In this example no gain or loss is recognised. Accordingly the entity now classifies CU800,000 as financial liabilities and CU2,200,000 as equity. This

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

example assumes these amounts are not changed between 1 January 20X1 and 31 December 20X2.

After the governing charter is amended

- A10 Following the change in its governing charter the co-operative entity can now be required to redeem a maximum of 25 per cent of its outstanding shares or a maximum of 50,000 shares at CU20 each. Accordingly, on 1 January 20X3 the co-operative entity classifies as financial liabilities an amount of CU1,000,000 being the maximum amount payable on demand under the redemption provisions, as determined in accordance with paragraph 49 of NZ IAS 39 (Diff Rep). It therefore transfers on 1 January 20X3 from equity to financial liabilities an amount of CU200,000, leaving CU2,000,000 classified as equity. In this example the entity does not recognise a gain or loss on the transfer.

Example 4

Facts

- A11 Local law governing the operations of co-operatives, or the terms of the entity's governing charter, prohibit an entity from redeeming members' shares if, by redeeming them, it would reduce paid-in capital from members' shares below 75 per cent of the highest amount of paid-in capital from members' shares. The highest amount for a particular co-operative is CU1,000,000. At the end of the reporting period the balance of paid-in capital is CU900,000.

Classification

- A12 In this case, CU750,000 would be classified as equity and CU150,000 would be classified as financial liabilities. In addition to the paragraphs already cited, paragraph 18(b) of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) states in part:

...a financial instrument that gives the holder the right to put it back to the issuer for cash or another financial asset (a 'puttable instrument') is a financial liability except for those instruments classified as equity instruments in accordance with paragraphs 16A and 16B or paragraphs 16C and 16D. The financial instrument is a financial liability even when the amount of cash or other financial assets is determined on the basis of an index or other item that has the potential to increase or decrease. The existence of an option for the holder to put the instrument back to the issuer for cash or another financial asset means that the puttable instrument meets the definition of a financial liability, except for those instruments classified as equity instruments in accordance with paragraphs 16A and 16B or paragraphs 16C and 16D.

- A13 The redemption prohibition described in this example is different from the restrictions described in paragraphs 19 and AG25 of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep). Those restrictions are limitations on the ability of the entity to pay the amount due

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

on a financial liability, ie they prevent payment of the liability only if specified conditions are met. In contrast, this example describes an unconditional prohibition on redemptions beyond a specified amount, regardless of the entity's ability to redeem members' shares (eg given its cash resources, profits or distributable reserves). In effect, the prohibition against redemption prevents the entity from incurring any financial liability to redeem more than a specified amount of paid-in capital. Therefore, the portion of shares subject to the redemption prohibition is not a financial liability. While each member's shares may be redeemable individually, a portion of the total shares outstanding is not redeemable in any circumstances other than liquidation of the entity.

Example 5

Facts

- A14 The facts of this example are as stated in example 4. In addition, at the end of the reporting period, liquidity requirements imposed in the local jurisdiction prevent the entity from redeeming any members' shares unless its holdings of cash and short-term investments are greater than a specified amount. The effect of these liquidity requirements at the end of the reporting period is that the entity cannot pay more than CU50,000 to redeem the members' shares.

Classification

- A15 As in example 4, the entity classifies CU750,000 as equity and CU150,000 as a financial liability. This is because the amount classified as a liability is based on the entity's unconditional right to refuse redemption and not on conditional restrictions that prevent redemption only if liquidity or other conditions are not met and then only until such time as they are met. The provisions of paragraphs 19 and AG25 of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep) apply in this case.

Example 6

Facts

- A16 The entity's governing charter prohibits it from redeeming members' shares, except to the extent of proceeds received from the issue of additional members' shares to new or existing members during the preceding three years. Proceeds from issuing members' shares must be applied to redeem shares for which members have requested redemption. During the three preceding years, the proceeds from issuing members' shares have been CU12,000 and no member's shares have been redeemed.

NZ IFRIC 2 (Diff Rep)

Classification

- A17 The entity classifies CU12,000 of the members' shares as financial liabilities. Consistently with the conclusions described in example 4, members' shares subject to an unconditional prohibition against redemption are not financial liabilities. Such an unconditional prohibition applies to an amount equal to the proceeds of shares issued before the preceding three years, and accordingly, this amount is classified as equity. However, an amount equal to the proceeds from any shares issued in the preceding three years is not subject to an unconditional prohibition on redemption. Accordingly, proceeds from the issue of members' shares in the preceding three years give rise to financial liabilities until they are no longer available for redemption of members' shares. As a result the entity has a financial liability equal to the proceeds of shares issued during the three preceding years, net of any redemptions during that period.

Example 7

Facts

- A18 The entity is a co-operative bank. Local law governing the operations of co-operative banks state that at least 50 per cent of the entity's total 'outstanding liabilities' (a term defined in the regulations to include members' share accounts) has to be in the form of members' paid-in capital. The effect of the regulation is that if all of a co-operative's outstanding liabilities are in the form of members' shares, it is able to redeem them all. On 31 December 20x1 the entity has total outstanding liabilities of CU200,000, of which CU125,000 represent members' share accounts. The terms of the members' share accounts permit the holder to redeem them on demand and there are no limitations on redemption in the entity's charter.

Classification

- A19 In this example members' shares are classified as financial liabilities. The redemption prohibition is similar to the restrictions described in paragraphs 19 and AG25 of NZ IAS 32 (Diff Rep). The restriction is a conditional limitation on the ability of the entity to pay the amount due on a financial liability, ie they prevent payment of the liability only if specified conditions are met. More specifically, the entity could be required to redeem the entire amount of members' shares (CU125,000) if it repaid all of its other liabilities (CU75,000). Consequently, the prohibition against redemption does not prevent the entity from incurring a financial liability to redeem more than a specified number of members' shares or amount of paid-in capital. It allows the entity only to defer redemption until a condition is met, ie the repayment of other liabilities. Members' shares in this example are not subject to an unconditional prohibition against redemption and are therefore classified as financial liabilities.