



NZ ACCOUNTING
STANDARDS
BOARD

New Zealand Equivalent to IFRIC Interpretation 4

Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease (NZ IFRIC 4)

Issued April 2005 and incorporates amendments to 30 November 2012

This Interpretation was issued by the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board of the External Reporting Board pursuant to section 24(1)(a) of the Financial Reporting Act 1993.

This Interpretation is a Regulation for the purposes of the Regulations (Disallowance) Act 1989.

This Interpretation is superseded by NZ IFRS 16 *Leases*. NZ IFRS 16 shall be applied for annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2019. Earlier application is permitted as long as an entity also applies NZ IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* at the same time, in which case this Standard is superseded from the earlier date of application.

COPYRIGHT

© External Reporting Board (“XRB”) 2011

This XRB standard contains International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

All existing rights (including copyrights) in this material outside of New Zealand are reserved by the IFRS Foundation. Reproduction of XRB standards outside of New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside New Zealand should be addressed to the IFRS Foundation.

ISBN 1-877430-54-4

CONTENTS

from paragraph

**NEW ZEALAND EQUIVALENT TO IFRIC INTERPRETATION 4
DETERMINING WHETHER AN ARRANGEMENT CONTAINS
A LEASE (NZ IFRIC 4)**

REFERENCES

BACKGROUND	1
SCOPE	NZ 3.1
ISSUES	5
CONSENSUS	6
EFFECTIVE DATE	16
TRANSITION	17

APPENDIX

Amendments to NZ IFRS 1 First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards

HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

The following is available within New Zealand on the XRB website as additional material

ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES ON IFRIC 4

BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS

NZ IFRIC 4

New Zealand Equivalent to IFRIC Interpretation 4 *Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease* (NZ IFRIC 4) is set out in paragraphs 1–17 and the Appendix.

NZ IFRIC 4 is based on IFRIC 4 *Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease* (IFRIC 4). NZ IFRIC 4 should be read in the context of the IFRIC’s Basis for Conclusions on IFRIC 4 and the Illustrative Examples for IFRIC 4.

Any New Zealand additional material is shown with either “NZ” or “RDR” preceding the paragraph number.

Reduced Disclosure Regime

NZ IFRIC 4 includes RDR disclosure concessions and associated RDR paragraphs for entities that qualify for and elect to apply Tier 2 for-profit accounting requirements in accordance with XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework*. Entities that elect to report in accordance with Tier 2 accounting requirements are not required to comply with paragraphs in this Interpretation denoted with an asterisk (*). However, an entity is required to comply with any RDR paragraph associated with that disclosure concession.

New Zealand Equivalent to IFRIC Interpretation 4

Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease (NZ IFRIC 4)

References

- NZ IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement*
- NZ IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*
- NZ IAS 16 *Property, Plant and Equipment*
- NZ IAS 17 *Leases*
- NZ IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*
- NZ IFRIC 12 *Service Concession Arrangements*

Background

- 1 An entity may enter into an arrangement, comprising a transaction or a series of related transactions, that does not take the legal form of a lease but conveys a right to use an asset (eg an item of property, plant or equipment) in return for a payment or series of payments. Examples of arrangements in which one entity (the supplier) may convey such a right to use an asset to another entity (the purchaser), often together with related services, include:
- outsourcing arrangements (eg the outsourcing of the data processing functions of an entity).
 - arrangements in the telecommunications industry, in which suppliers of network capacity enter into contracts to provide purchasers with rights to capacity.
 - take-or-pay and similar contracts, in which purchasers must make specified payments regardless of whether they take delivery of the contracted products or services (eg a take-or-pay contract to acquire substantially all of the output of a supplier's power generator).
- 2 This Interpretation provides guidance for determining whether such arrangements are, or contain, leases that should be accounted for in accordance with NZ IAS 17. It does not provide guidance for determining how such a lease should be classified under that Standard.
- 3 In some arrangements, the underlying asset that is the subject of the lease is a portion of a larger asset. This Interpretation does not address how to determine when a portion of a larger asset is itself the underlying asset for the purposes of applying NZ IAS 17. Nevertheless, arrangements in which the underlying asset would represent a unit of account in either NZ IAS 16 or NZ IAS 38 are within the scope of this Interpretation.

Scope

- NZ 3.1 This Interpretation applies to Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities.
- NZ 3.2 A Tier 2 entity is not required to comply with the disclosure requirements in this Interpretation denoted with an asterisk (*). Where an entity elects to apply a disclosure concession it shall comply with any RDR paragraphs associated with that concession.
- 4 This Interpretation does not apply to arrangements that:
- (a) are, or contain, leases excluded from the scope of NZ IAS 17; or
 - (b) are public-to-private service concession arrangements within the scope of NZ IFRIC 12.

Issues

- 5 The issues addressed in this Interpretation are:
- (a) how to determine whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease as defined in NZ IAS 17;
 - (b) when the assessment or a reassessment of whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease should be made; and

- (c) if an arrangement is, or contains, a lease, how the payments for the lease should be separated from payments for any other elements in the arrangement.

Consensus

Determining whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease

- 6 Determining whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease shall be based on the substance of the arrangement and requires an assessment of whether:
- (a) fulfilment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets (the asset); and
 - (b) the arrangement conveys a right to use the asset.

Fulfilment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset

- 7 Although a specific asset may be explicitly identified in an arrangement, it is not the subject of a lease if fulfilment of the arrangement is not dependent on the use of the specified asset. For example, if the supplier is obliged to deliver a specified quantity of goods or services and has the right and ability to provide those goods or services using other assets not specified in the arrangement, then fulfilment of the arrangement is not dependent on the specified asset and the arrangement does not contain a lease. A warranty obligation that permits or requires the substitution of the same or similar assets when the specified asset is not operating properly does not preclude lease treatment. In addition, a contractual provision (contingent or otherwise) permitting or requiring the supplier to substitute other assets for any reason on or after a specified date does not preclude lease treatment before the date of substitution.
- 8 An asset has been implicitly specified if, for example, the supplier owns or leases only one asset with which to fulfil the obligation and it is not economically feasible or practicable for the supplier to perform its obligation through the use of alternative assets.

Arrangement conveys a right to use the asset

- 9 An arrangement conveys the right to use the asset if the arrangement conveys to the purchaser (lessee) the right to control the use of the underlying asset. The right to control the use of the underlying asset is conveyed if any one of the following conditions is met:
- (a) The purchaser has the ability or right to operate the asset or direct others to operate the asset in a manner it determines while obtaining or controlling more than an insignificant amount of the output or other utility of the asset.
 - (b) The purchaser has the ability or right to control physical access to the underlying asset while obtaining or controlling more than an insignificant amount of the output or other utility of the asset.
 - (c) Facts and circumstances indicate that it is remote that one or more parties other than the purchaser will take more than an insignificant amount of the output or other utility that will be produced or generated by the asset during the term of the arrangement, and the price that the purchaser will pay for the output is neither contractually fixed per unit of output nor equal to the current market price per unit of output as of the time of delivery of the output.

Assessing or reassessing whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease

- 10 The assessment of whether an arrangement contains a lease shall be made at the inception of the arrangement, being the earlier of the date of the arrangement and the date of commitment by the parties to the principal terms of the arrangement, on the basis of all of the facts and circumstances. A reassessment of whether the arrangement contains a lease after the inception of the arrangement shall be made only if any one of the following conditions is met:
- (a) There is a change in the contractual terms, unless the change only renews or extends the arrangement.
 - (b) A renewal option is exercised or an extension is agreed to by the parties to the arrangement, unless the term of the renewal or extension had initially been included in the lease term in accordance with

paragraph 4 of NZ IAS 17. A renewal or extension of the arrangement that does not include modification of any of the terms in the original arrangement before the end of the term of the original arrangement shall be evaluated under paragraphs 6–9 only with respect to the renewal or extension period.

- (c) There is a change in the determination of whether fulfilment is dependent on a specified asset.
 - (d) There is a substantial change to the asset, for example a substantial physical change to property, plant or equipment.
- 11 A reassessment of an arrangement shall be based on the facts and circumstances as of the date of reassessment, including the remaining term of the arrangement. Changes in estimate (for example, the estimated amount of output to be delivered to the purchaser or other potential purchasers) would not trigger a reassessment. If an arrangement is reassessed and is determined to contain a lease (or not to contain a lease), lease accounting shall be applied (or cease to apply) from:
- (a) in the case of (a), (c) or (d) in paragraph 10, when the change in circumstances giving rise to the reassessment occurs;
 - (b) in the case of (b) in paragraph 10, the inception of the renewal or extension period.

Separating payments for the lease from other payments

- 12 If an arrangement contains a lease, the parties to the arrangement shall apply the requirements of NZ IAS 17 to the lease element of the arrangement, unless exempted from those requirements in accordance with paragraph 2 of NZ IAS 17. Accordingly, if an arrangement contains a lease, that lease shall be classified as a finance lease or an operating lease in accordance with paragraphs 7–19 of NZ IAS 17. Other elements of the arrangement not within the scope of NZ IAS 17 shall be accounted for in accordance with other Standards.
- 13 For the purpose of applying the requirements of NZ IAS 17, payments and other consideration required by the arrangement shall be separated at the inception of the arrangement or upon a reassessment of the arrangement into those for the lease and those for other elements on the basis of their relative fair values. The minimum lease payments as defined in paragraph 4 of NZ IAS 17 include only payments for the lease (ie the right to use the asset) and exclude payments for other elements in the arrangement (eg for services and the cost of inputs).
- 14 In some cases, separating the payments for the lease from payments for other elements in the arrangement will require the purchaser to use an estimation technique. For example, a purchaser may estimate the lease payments by reference to a lease agreement for a comparable asset that contains no other elements, or by estimating the payments for the other elements in the arrangement by reference to comparable agreements and then deducting these payments from the total payments under the arrangement.
- 15 If a purchaser concludes that it is impracticable to separate the payments reliably, it shall:
- (a) in the case of a finance lease, recognise an asset and a liability at an amount equal to the fair value¹ of the underlying asset that was identified in paragraphs 7 and 8 as the subject of the lease. Subsequently the liability shall be reduced as payments are made and an imputed finance charge on the liability recognised using the purchaser’s incremental borrowing rate of interest.²
 - (b) in the case of an operating lease, treat all payments under the arrangement as lease payments for the purposes of complying with the disclosure requirements of NZ IAS 17, but
 - * (i) disclose those payments separately from minimum lease payments of other arrangements that do not include payments for non-lease elements, and
 - * (ii) state that the disclosed payments also include payments for non-lease elements in the arrangement.

Effective date

- 16 This Interpretation becomes operative for an entity’s financial statements that cover annual accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2007. For entities which elect to comply with NZ IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards* for an annual accounting period beginning on or after 1 January 2005 and before 1 January 2007, this Interpretation

¹ NZ IAS 17 uses the term ‘fair value’ in a way that differs in some respects from the definition of fair value in NZ IFRS 13. Therefore, when applying NZ IAS 17 an entity measures fair value in accordance with NZ IAS 17, not NZ IFRS 13.

² ie the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate of interest as defined in paragraph 4 of NZ IAS 17.

NZ IFRIC 4

becomes operative for annual accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2006. Early application is encouraged. If an entity applies this Interpretation to a period beginning before 1 January 2006, it shall disclose that fact.

16A An entity shall apply the amendment in paragraph 4(b) for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2008. If an entity applies NZ IFRIC 12 for an earlier period, the amendment shall be applied for that earlier period.

NZ 16A.1 *Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities*, issued in November 2012, amended extant NZ IFRSs by deleting any public benefit entity paragraphs, deleting any differential reporting concessions, adding scope paragraphs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities and adding disclosure concessions for Tier 2 entities. It made no changes to the requirements for Tier 1 entities. A Tier 2 entity may elect to apply the disclosure concessions for annual periods beginning on or after 1 December 2012. Early application is permitted.

Transition

17 NZ IAS 8 specifies how an entity applies a change in accounting policy resulting from the initial application of an Interpretation. An entity is not required to comply with those requirements when first applying this Interpretation. If an entity uses this exemption, it shall apply paragraphs 6–9 of the Interpretation to arrangements existing at the start of the earliest period for which comparative information under NZ IFRS is presented on the basis of facts and circumstances existing at the start of that period.

IFRIC Illustrative Examples

[These illustrative examples do not form part of NZ IFRIC 4.]

IFRIC Basis for Conclusions

BC1–BC50 [Paragraphs BC1–BC50 do not form part of NZ IFRIC 4.]

Appendix
Amendments to NZ IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of New Zealand*
Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards

The amendments in this appendix shall be applied for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2006. If an entity applies this Interpretation for an earlier period, these amendments shall be applied for that earlier period.

The amendment contained in this appendix when this Interpretation was issued in 2005 has been incorporated into NZ IFRS 1 as published on 31 December 2006. In December 2008 a revised version of NZ IFRS 1 was issued.

HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

Table of Pronouncements – NZ IFRIC 4 *Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease*

This table lists the pronouncements establishing and substantially amending NZ IFRIC 4. The table is based on amendments approved as at 30 November 2012.

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods... on or after ...)
NZ IFRIC 4 <i>Determining whether an Arrangement contains a Lease</i>	April 2005	1 Jan 2006	1 Jan 2007
NZ IFRIC 12 <i>Service Concession Arrangements</i>	Mar 2007	Early application encouraged	1 Jan 2008
<i>Omnibus amendments (2007-1)</i>	Nov 2007	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2008
NZ IFRS 13 <i>Fair Value Measurement</i>	June 2011	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2013
<i>Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities¹</i>	Nov 2012	Early application permitted	1 Dec 2012

Table of Amended Paragraphs in NZ IFRIC 4		
Paragraph affected	How affected	By ... [date]
Paragraph 4(b)	Added	NZ IFRIC 12 [Mar 2007]
Paragraph 15(a)	Footnote added	NZ IFRS 13 [June 2011]
Paragraph 16A	Added	<i>Omnibus amendments (2007-1)</i> [Nov 2007]
Paragraph NZ 16A.1	Added	<i>Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities</i> [Nov 2012]

¹ This pronouncement amended extant NZ IFRSs by (i) deleting any public benefit entity paragraphs, (ii) deleting any differential reporting paragraphs, (iii) adding scope paragraphs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities, and (iv) adding RDR disclosure concessions.