

#3

COMPLETE

Collector:

Started:

Last Modified:

Time Spent:

IP Address:

Page 1

Q1

Do you agree with Proposal 1 to extend Adoption Provisions 4, 5 and 7 for scope 3 GHG emissions disclosures from one accounting period to two accounting periods?

No,

Comment:

I entirely disagree with the proposed changes. Climate action is not meant to be easy, but it needs to take priority. CRE need to put pressure on their supply chain to obtain the relevant data. Delaying the requirements will just push the goal post along, further delaying climate action and our chance of meeting the ambitions of the Paris agreement.

Q2

Do you agree with Proposal 2 to add a new Adoption Provision 8 that gives relief of one accounting period before scope 3 GHG emissions assurance is mandatory?

No**Q3**

Do you agree that a one-year delay for scope 3 GHG emissions assurance is sufficient to enable systems to mature to support the availability of sufficient reliable data and to enable increased consistency across the assurance market?

No,

Comment:

No further delay, action is needed now!

Q4

Do you agree with Proposal 3 to extend Adoption Provision 2 for anticipated financial impacts from one accounting period to two accounting periods?

No**Q5**

Do you agree with Proposal 4 to extend Adoption Provision 3 for transition planning from one accounting period to two accounting periods?

No

Q6

Please provide your contact details:

Name **Kristel Scozzaro**

Company **BraveGen**

Email Address

Phone Number
