

New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standard 20

Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance (NZ IAS 20)

Issued November 2004 and incorporates amendments up to and including 30 November 2012 other than consequential amendments resulting from early adoption of NZ IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement

This Standard was issued by the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board of the External Reporting Board pursuant to section 24(1)(a) of the Financial Reporting Act 1993.

This Standard is a Regulation for the purposes of the Regulations (Disallowance) Act 1989.

COPYRIGHT

© External Reporting Board ("XRB") 2011

This XRB standard contains International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

All existing rights (including copyrights) in this material outside of New Zealand are reserved by the IFRS Foundation. Reproduction of XRB standards outside of New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside New Zealand should be addressed to the IFRS Foundation.

ISBN 1-877430-30-7

NZ IAS 20

CONTENTS

NEW ZEALAND EQUIVALENT TO INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING STANDARD 20

ACCOUNTING FOR GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND DISCLOSURE OF GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE (NZ IAS 20)

	from paragraph
INTRODUCTION	
SCOPE	NZ 0.1
DEFINITIONS	3
GOVERNMENT GRANTS	7
Non-monetary government grants	23
Presentation of grants related to assets	24
Presentation of grants related to income	29
Repayment of government grants	32
GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE	34
DISCLOSURE	39
TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS	40
EFFECTIVE DATE	41
HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS	
IASB BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS	

New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standard 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance (NZ IAS 20) is set out in paragraphs NZ 0.1–NZ 46.1. NZ IAS 20 is based on International Accounting Standard 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance (IAS 20) (reformatted 1994) issued by the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) and adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). All the paragraphs have equal authority but retain the IASC format of the Standard when it was adopted by the IASB. NZ IAS 20 should be read in the context of the IASB's Basis for Conclusions on IAS 20 and the New Zealand Equivalent to the IASB Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (NZ Framework). NZ IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors provides a basis for selecting and applying accounting policies in the absence of explicit guidance.

Any New Zealand additional material is shown with either "NZ" or "RDR" preceding the paragraph number.

Introduction

The Standard prescribes the accounting for, and disclosure of, government grants and the disclosure of other forms of government assistance.

Tier 1 for-profit entities that comply with NZ IAS 20 will simultaneously be in compliance with IAS 20.

Reduced Disclosure Regime

Tier 2 for-profit entities must comply with all the provisions in NZ IAS 20.

New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standard 20

Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance (NZ IAS 20)¹

Scope

NZ 0.1 This Standard applies only to Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities.

- 1 This Standard shall be applied in accounting for, and in the disclosure of, government grants and in the disclosure of other forms of government assistance.
- 2 This Standard does not deal with:
 - (a) the special problems arising in accounting for government grants in financial statements reflecting the effects of changing prices or in supplementary information of a similar nature.
 - (b) government assistance that is provided for an entity in the form of benefits that are available in determining taxable profit or tax loss or are determined or limited on the basis of income tax liability. Examples of such benefits are income tax holidays, investment tax credits, accelerated depreciation allowances and reduced income tax rates.
 - (c) government participation in the ownership of the entity.
 - (d) government grants covered by NZ IAS 41 Agriculture.

Definitions

3 The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:

Government refers to government, government agencies and similar bodies whether local, national or international.

Government assistance is action by government designed to provide an economic benefit specific to an entity or range of entities qualifying under certain criteria. Government assistance for the purpose of this Standard does not include benefits provided only indirectly through action affecting general trading conditions, such as the provision of infrastructure in development areas or the imposition of trading constraints on competitors.

Government grants are assistance by government in the form of transfers of resources to an entity in return for past or future compliance with certain conditions relating to the operating activities of the entity. They exclude those forms of government assistance which cannot reasonably have a value placed upon them and transactions with government which cannot be distinguished from the normal trading transactions of the entity.²

Grants related to assets are government grants whose primary condition is that an entity qualifying for them should purchase, construct or otherwise acquire long-term assets. Subsidiary conditions may also be attached restricting the type or location of the assets or the periods during which they are to be acquired or held.

 ${\it Grants\ related\ to\ income}$ are government grants other than those related to assets.

Forgivable loans are loans which the lender undertakes to waive repayment of under certain prescribed conditions.

As part of *Improvements to NZ IFRSs* issued in June 2008 the terminology used in this Standard was amended to be consistent with other NZ IFRSs as follows:

⁽a) 'taxable income' was amended to 'taxable profit or tax loss',

⁽b) 'recognised as income/expense' was amended to 'recognised in profit or loss',

⁽c) (credited directly to shareholders' interests/equity' was amended to 'recognised outside profit or loss', and

⁽d) 'revision to an accounting estimate' was amended to 'change in accounting estimate'.

See also NZ SIC-10 Government Assistance—No Specific Relation to Operating Activities.

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged between a knowledgeable, willing buyer and a knowledgeable, willing seller in an arm's length transaction.

- Government assistance takes many forms varying both in the nature of the assistance given and in the conditions which are usually attached to it. The purpose of the assistance may be to encourage an entity to embark on a course of action which it would not normally have taken if the assistance was not provided.
- The receipt of government assistance by an entity may be significant for the preparation of the financial statements for two reasons. Firstly, if resources have been transferred, an appropriate method of accounting for the transfer must be found. Secondly, it is desirable to give an indication of the extent to which the entity has benefited from such assistance during the reporting period. This facilitates comparison of an entity's financial statements with those of prior periods and with those of other entities.
- 6 Government grants are sometimes called by other names such as subsidies, subventions, or premiums.

Government grants

- 7 Government grants, including non-monetary grants at fair value, shall not be recognised until there is reasonable assurance that:
 - (a) the entity will comply with the conditions attaching to them; and
 - (b) the grants will be received.
- A government grant is not recognised until there is reasonable assurance that the entity will comply with the conditions attaching to it, and that the grant will be received. Receipt of a grant does not of itself provide conclusive evidence that the conditions attaching to the grant have been or will be fulfilled.
- The manner in which a grant is received does not affect the accounting method to be adopted in regard to the grant. Thus a grant is accounted for in the same manner whether it is received in cash or as a reduction of a liability to the government.
- A forgivable loan from government is treated as a government grant when there is reasonable assurance that the entity will meet the terms for forgiveness of the loan.
- The benefit of a government loan at a below-market rate of interest is treated as a government grant. The loan shall be recognised and measured in accordance with NZ IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*. The benefit of the below-market rate of interest shall be measured as the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with NZ IAS 39 and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for in accordance with this Standard. The entity shall consider the conditions and obligations that have been, or must be, met when identifying the costs for which the benefit of the loan is intended to compensate.
- Once a government grant is recognised, any related contingent liability or contingent asset is treated in accordance with NZ IAS 37 *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets.*
- Government grants shall be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate. They shall not be credited directly to shareholders' interests.
- There are two broad approaches to the accounting for government grants: the capital approach, under which a grant is recognised outside profit or loss, and the income approach, under which a grant is recognised in profit or loss over one or more periods.
- 14 Those in support of the capital approach argue as follows:
 - (a) government grants are a financing device and should be dealt with as such in the statement of financial position rather than be recognised in profit or loss to offset the items of expense that they finance. Because no repayment is expected, such grants should be recognised outside profit or loss; and
 - (b) it is inappropriate to recognise government grants in profit or loss, because they are not earned but represent an incentive provided by government without related costs.
- 15 Arguments in support of the income approach are as follows:
 - (a) because government grants are receipts from a source other than shareholders, they should not be recognised directly in equity but should be recognised in profit or loss in appropriate periods.
 - (b) government grants are rarely gratuitous. The entity earns them through compliance with their conditions and meeting the envisaged obligations. They should therefore be recognised in profit or

- loss over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs for which the grant is intended to compensate.
- (c) because income and other taxes are expenses, it is logical to deal also with government grants, which are an extension of fiscal policies, in profit or loss.
- It is fundamental to the income approach that government grants should be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs for which the grant is intended to compensate. Recognition of government grants in profit or loss on a receipts basis is not in accordance with the accrual accounting assumption (see NZ IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements*) and would be acceptable only if no basis existed for allocating a grant to periods other than the one in which it was received.
- In most cases the periods over which an entity recognises the costs or expenses related to a government grant are readily ascertainable. Thus grants in recognition of specific expenses are recognised in profit or loss in the same period as the relevant expenses. Similarly, grants related to depreciable assets are usually recognised in profit or loss over the periods and in the proportions in which depreciation expense on those assets is recognised.
- Grants related to non-depreciable assets may also require the fulfilment of certain obligations and would then be recognised in profit or loss over the periods that bear the cost of meeting the obligations. As an example, a grant of land may be conditional upon the erection of a building on the site and it may be appropriate to recognise the grant in profit or loss over the life of the building.
- Grants are sometimes received as part of a package of financial or fiscal aids to which a number of conditions are attached. In such cases, care is needed in identifying the conditions giving rise to costs and expenses which determine the periods over which the grant will be earned. It may be appropriate to allocate part of a grant on one basis and part on another.
- A government grant that becomes receivable as compensation for expenses or losses already incurred or for the purpose of giving immediate financial support to the entity with no future related costs shall be recognised in profit or loss of the period in which it becomes receivable.
- In some circumstances, a government grant may be awarded for the purpose of giving immediate financial support to an entity rather than as an incentive to undertake specific expenditures. Such grants may be confined to a particular entity and may not be available to a whole class of beneficiaries. These circumstances may warrant recognising a grant in profit or loss of the period in which the entity qualifies to receive it, with disclosure to ensure that its effect is clearly understood.
- A government grant may become receivable by an entity as compensation for expenses or losses incurred in a previous period. Such a grant is recognised in profit or loss of the period in which it becomes receivable, with disclosure to ensure that its effect is clearly understood.

Non-monetary government grants

A government grant may take the form of a transfer of a non-monetary asset, such as land or other resources, for the use of the entity. In these circumstances it is usual to assess the fair value of the non-monetary asset and to account for both grant and asset at that fair value. An alternative course that is sometimes followed is to record both asset and grant at a nominal amount.

Presentation of grants related to assets

- Government grants related to assets, including non-monetary grants at fair value, shall be presented in the statement of financial position either by setting up the grant as deferred income or by deducting the grant in arriving at the carrying amount of the asset.
- Two methods of presentation in financial statements of grants (or the appropriate portions of grants) related to assets are regarded as acceptable alternatives.
- One method recognises the grant as deferred income that is recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset.
- The other method deducts the grant in calculating the carrying amount of the asset. The grant is recognised in profit or loss over the life of a depreciable asset as a reduced depreciation expense.
- The purchase of assets and the receipt of related grants can cause major movements in the cash flow of an entity. For this reason and in order to show the gross investment in assets, such movements are often disclosed as separate items in the statement of cash flows regardless of whether or not the grant is deducted from the related asset for presentation purposes in the statement of financial position.

Presentation of grants related to income

- Grants related to income are presented as part of profit or loss, either separately or under a general heading such as "Other income"; alternatively, they are deducted in reporting the related expense.
- 29A [Deleted by IASB]
- Supporters of the first method claim that it is inappropriate to net income and expense items and that separation of the grant from the expense facilitates comparison with other expenses not affected by a grant. For the second method it is argued that the expenses might well not have been incurred by the entity if the grant had not been available and presentation of the expense without offsetting the grant may therefore be misleading.
- Both methods are regarded as acceptable for the presentation of grants related to income. Disclosure of the grant may be necessary for a proper understanding of the financial statements. Disclosure of the effect of the grants on any item of income or expense which is required to be separately disclosed is usually appropriate.

Repayment of government grants

- A government grant that becomes repayable shall be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate (see NZ IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors). Repayment of a grant related to income shall be applied first against any unamortised deferred credit recognised in respect of the grant. To the extent that the repayment exceeds any such deferred credit, or when no deferred credit exists, the repayment shall be recognised immediately in profit or loss. Repayment of a grant related to an asset shall be recognised by increasing the carrying amount of the asset or reducing the deferred income balance by the amount repayable. The cumulative additional depreciation that would have been recognised in profit or loss to date in the absence of the grant shall be recognised immediately in profit or loss.
- Circumstances giving rise to repayment of a grant related to an asset may require consideration to be given to the possible impairment of the new carrying amount of the asset.

Government assistance

- Excluded from the definition of government grants in paragraph 3 are certain forms of government assistance which cannot reasonably have a value placed upon them and transactions with government which cannot be distinguished from the normal trading transactions of the entity.
- Examples of assistance that cannot reasonably have a value placed upon them are free technical or marketing advice and the provision of guarantees. An example of assistance that cannot be distinguished from the normal trading transactions of the entity is a government procurement policy that is responsible for a portion of the entity's sales. The existence of the benefit might be unquestioned but any attempt to segregate the trading activities from government assistance could well be arbitrary.
- The significance of the benefit in the above examples may be such that disclosure of the nature, extent and duration of the assistance is necessary in order that the financial statements may not be misleading.
- 37 [Deleted by IASB]
- In this Standard, government assistance does not include the provision of infrastructure by improvement to the general transport and communication network and the supply of improved facilities such as irrigation or water reticulation which is available on an ongoing indeterminate basis for the benefit of an entire local community.

Disclosure

- 39 The following matters shall be disclosed:
 - (a) the accounting policy adopted for government grants, including the methods of presentation adopted in the financial statements;
 - (b) the nature and extent of government grants recognised in the financial statements and an indication of other forms of government assistance from which the entity has directly benefited; and

(c) unfulfilled conditions and other contingencies attaching to government assistance that has been recognised.

Transitional provisions

40 [Paragraph 40 is not reproduced. The transitional provisions in IAS 20 are not relevant to the adoption of this Standard.]

Effective date

- This Standard becomes operative for an entity's financial statements that cover annual accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2007. Early adoption of this Standard is permitted only when an entity complies with NZ IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards* for an annual accounting period beginning on or after 1 January 2005.
- NZ IAS 1 (as revised in 2007) amended the terminology used throughout new Zealand equivalents to IFRSs. In addition, it added paragraph 29A. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009. If an entity applies NZ IAS 1 (revised 2007) for an earlier period, the amendments shall be applied for that earlier period.
- Paragraph 37 was deleted and paragraph 10A added by *Improvements to NZ IFRSs* issued in June 2008. An entity shall apply those amendments prospectively to government loans received in periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009. Earlier application is permitted. If an entity applies the amendments for an earlier period it shall disclose that fact.
- NZ 44.1 *Harmonisation Amendments*, issued in April 2011, deleted paragraph NZ 39.1. This amendment shall be applied for annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 July 2011. Early application is permitted. If an entity applies this amendment for an earlier period it shall disclose that fact.
- 46 Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income (Amendments to NZ IAS 1), issued in August 2011, amended paragraph 29, deleted paragraphs NZ 1.1, NZ 3.1 and 29A and added paragraph NZ 1.2. An entity shall apply those amendments when it applies NZ IAS 1 as amended in August 2011.
- NZ 46.1 Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities, issued in November 2012, amended extant NZ IFRSs by deleting any public benefit entity paragraphs, deleting any differential reporting concessions, adding scope paragraphs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities and adding disclosure concessions for Tier 2 entities. It made no changes to the requirements for Tier 1 entities. A Tier 2 entity may elect to apply the disclosure concessions for annual periods beginning on or after 1 December 2012. Early application is permitted.

HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

Table of Pronouncements – NZ IAS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance

This table lists the pronouncements establishing and substantially amending NZ IAS 20. The table is based on amendments approved as at 30 November 2012 other than consequential amendments resulting from early adoption of NZ IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement.

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods on or after)
NZ IAS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance	Nov 2004	1 Jan 2005	1 Jan 2007
NZ IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements (revised 2007)	Nov 2007	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2009
Improvements to NZ IFRSs	June 2008	Early application permitted	1 July 2009
Minor Amendments to NZ IFRSs	July 2010	Immediate	Immediate
Harmonisation Amendments	Apr 2011	Early application permitted	1 July 2011
Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income (Amendments to NZ IAS 1)	Aug 2011	Early application permitted	1 July 2012
Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities ³	Nov 2012	Early application permitted	1 Dec 2012

Table of Amended Paragraphs in NZ IAS 20			
Paragraph affected	How affected	By [date]	
Paragraph NZ 1.1	Deleted	Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income [Aug 2011]	
Paragraph NZ 1.2	Inserted	Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income [Aug 2011]	
Paragraph NZ 3.1	Deleted	Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income [Aug 2011]	
Paragraph 10A	Inserted	Improvements to NZ IFRSs [June 2008]	
Paragraph 29	Amended	Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income [Aug 2011]	
Paragraph 29A	Inserted	NZ IAS 1 [Nov 2007]	
Paragraph 29A	Deleted	Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income [Aug 2011]	
Paragraph 37	Deleted	Improvements to NZ IFRSs [June 2008]	
Paragraph NZ 39.1	Deleted	Harmonisation Amendments [Apr 2011]	
Paragraph 42	Inserted	NZ IAS 1 [Nov 2007]	
Paragraph 43	Inserted	Improvements to NZ IFRSs [June 2008]	
Paragraph NZ 44.1	Inserted	Harmonisation Amendments [Apr 2011]	
Paragraph 46	Inserted	Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income [Aug 2011]	
Paragraph NZ 46.1	Inserted	Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities [Nov 2012]	

11

This pronouncement amended extant NZ IFRSs by (i) deleting any public benefit entity paragraphs, (ii) deleting any differential reporting paragraphs, (iii) adding scope paragraphs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities, and (iv) adding RDR disclosure concessions.