



New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standard 24 Related Party Disclosures (NZ IAS 24)

Issued November 2009 and incorporates amendments up to and including 30 November 2012

This Standard was issued by the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board of the External Reporting Board pursuant to section 24(1)(a) of the Financial Reporting Act 1993.

This Standard is a Regulation for the purposes of the Regulations (Disallowance) Act 1989.

COPYRIGHT

© External Reporting Board (“XRB”) 2011

This XRB standard contains International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

All existing rights (including copyrights) in this material outside of New Zealand are reserved by the IFRS Foundation. Reproduction of XRB standards outside of New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside New Zealand should be addressed to the IFRS Foundation.

ISBN 1-877529-00-9

CONTENTS

**NEW ZEALAND EQUIVALENT TO INTERNATIONAL
ACCOUNTING STANDARD 24 *RELATED PARTY
DISCLOSURES* (NZ IAS 24)**

	<i>from paragraph</i>
INTRODUCTION	IN1
OBJECTIVE	1
SCOPE	NZ 1.1
PURPOSE OF RELATED PARTY DISCLOSURES	5
DEFINITIONS	9
DISCLOSURES	13
All entities	13
Government-related entities	25
EFFECTIVE DATE AND TRANSITION	28
WITHDRAWAL OF NZ IAS 24 (2004)	29
APPENDIX	
Amendment to NZ IFRS 8 <i>Operating Segments</i>	
HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS	
APPROVAL BY THE BOARD OF IAS 24 ISSUED IN NOVEMBER 2009	
IASB BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS	
APPENDIX	
Amendment to the Basis for Conclusions on IAS 19 <i>Employee Benefits</i>	
IASB DISSENTING OPINION	
IASB ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES	
TABLE OF CONCORDANCE	

New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standard 24 *Related Party Disclosures* (NZ IAS 24) is set out in paragraphs 1–29 and the Appendix. NZ IAS 24 is based on International Accounting Standard 24 *Related Party Disclosures* (IAS 24) as revised by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) in 2009. All the paragraphs have equal authority but retain the IASC format of the Standard when it was adopted by the IASB. NZ IAS 24 should be read in the context of its objective and the IASB’s Basis for Conclusions on IAS 24 and the New Zealand Equivalent to the IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (NZ Framework). NZ IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors* provides a basis for selecting and applying accounting policies in the absence of explicit guidance.

Any New Zealand additional material is shown with either “NZ” or “RDR” preceding the paragraph number.

Introduction

- IN1 New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standard 24 *Related Party Disclosures* (NZ IAS 24) requires a reporting entity to disclose:
- (a) transactions with its related parties; and
 - (b) relationships between parents and subsidiaries irrespective of whether there have been transactions between those related parties.
- IN2 The International Accounting Standards Board revised IAS 24 in 2009 by:
- (a) simplifying the definition of a related party, clarifying its intended meaning and eliminating inconsistencies from the definition.
 - (b) providing a partial exemption from the disclosure requirements for government-related entities.
- IN3 In making those revisions, the IASB did not reconsider the fundamental approach to related party disclosures contained in IAS 24 (as revised in 2003).

New Zealand specific changes

- IN4 In adopting IAS 24 for application as NZ IAS 24 no changes have been made to the requirements of IAS 24 for Tier 1 for-profit entities. Where identified, disclosure concessions have been made available for Tier 2 for-profit entities.
- IN5 Tier 1 for-profit entities that comply with NZ IAS 24 will simultaneously be in compliance with IAS 24.

Reduced Disclosure Regime

- IN6 NZ IAS 24 includes RDR disclosure concessions and associated RDR paragraphs for entities that qualify for and elect to apply Tier 2 for-profit accounting standards in accordance with XRB A1 *Accounting Standards Framework*. Entities that elect to report in accordance with Tier 2 accounting standards are not required to comply with paragraphs denoted with an asterisk (*) in this Standard. However, an entity is required to comply with any RDR paragraph associated with a disclosure concession that is adopted.

New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standard 24

Related Party Disclosures (NZ IAS 24)

Objective

- 1 The objective of this Standard is to ensure that an entity's financial statements contain the disclosures necessary to draw attention to the possibility that its financial position and profit or loss may have been affected by the existence of related parties and by transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, with such parties.

Scope

NZ 1.1 This Standard applies only to Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities.

NZ 1.2 A Tier 2 entity is not required to comply with the disclosure requirements in this Standard denoted with an asterisk (*). Where an entity elects to apply a disclosure concession it shall comply with any RDR paragraphs associated with that concession.

2 **This Standard shall be applied in:**

- (a) **identifying related party relationships and transactions;**
- (b) **identifying outstanding balances, including commitments, between an entity and its related parties;**
- (c) **identifying the circumstances in which disclosure of the items in (a) and (b) is required; and**
- (d) **determining the disclosures to be made about those items.**

3 **This Standard requires disclosure of related party relationships, transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, in the consolidated and separate financial statements of a parent or investors with joint control of, or significant influence over, an investee presented in accordance with NZ IFRS 10 *Consolidated Financial Statements* or NZ IAS 27 *Separate Financial Statements*. This Standard also applies to individual financial statements.**

4 Related party transactions and outstanding balances with other entities in a group are disclosed in an entity's financial statements. Intragroup related party transactions and outstanding balances are eliminated in the preparation of consolidated financial statements of the group.

Purpose of related party disclosures

5 Related party relationships are a normal feature of commerce and business. For example, entities frequently carry on parts of their activities through subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates. In those circumstances, the entity has the ability to affect the financial and operating policies of the investee through the presence of control, joint control or significant influence.

6 A related party relationship could have an effect on the profit or loss and financial position of an entity. Related parties may enter into transactions that unrelated parties would not. For example, an entity that sells goods to its parent at cost might not sell on those terms to another customer. Also, transactions between related parties may not be made at the same amounts as between unrelated parties.

7 The profit or loss and financial position of an entity may be affected by a related party relationship even if related party transactions do not occur. The mere existence of the relationship may be sufficient to affect the transactions of the entity with other parties. For example, a subsidiary may terminate relations with a trading partner on acquisition by the parent of a fellow subsidiary engaged in the same activity as the former trading partner. Alternatively, one party may refrain from acting because of the significant influence of another—for example, a subsidiary may be instructed by its parent not to engage in research and development.

8 For these reasons, knowledge of an entity's transactions, outstanding balances, including commitments, and relationships with related parties may affect assessments of its operations by users of financial statements, including assessments of the risks and opportunities facing the entity.

Definitions

9 The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:

A related party is a person or entity that is related to the entity that is preparing its financial statements (in this Standard referred to as the ‘reporting entity’).

- (a) A person or a close member of that person’s family is related to a reporting entity if that person:
 - (i) has control or joint control of the reporting entity;
 - (ii) has significant influence over the reporting entity; or
 - (iii) is a member of the key management personnel of the reporting entity or of a parent of the reporting entity.
- (b) An entity is related to a reporting entity if any of the following conditions applies:
 - (i) The entity and the reporting entity are members of the same group (which means that each parent, subsidiary and fellow subsidiary is related to the others).
 - (ii) One entity is an associate or joint venture of the other entity (or an associate or joint venture of a member of a group of which the other entity is a member).
 - (iii) Both entities are joint ventures of the same third party.
 - (iv) One entity is a joint venture of a third entity and the other entity is an associate of the third entity.
 - (v) The entity is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of either the reporting entity or an entity related to the reporting entity. If the reporting entity is itself such a plan, the sponsoring employers are also related to the reporting entity.
 - (vi) The entity is controlled or jointly controlled by a person identified in (a).
 - (vii) A person identified in (a)(i) has significant influence over the entity or is a member of the key management personnel of the entity (or of a parent of the entity).

A related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between a reporting entity and a related party, regardless of whether a price is charged.

Close members of the family of a person are those family members who may be expected to influence, or be influenced by, that person in their dealings with the entity and include:

- (a) that person’s children and spouse or domestic partner;
- (b) children of that person’s spouse or domestic partner; and
- (c) dependants of that person or that person’s spouse or domestic partner.

Compensation includes all employee benefits (as defined in NZ IAS 19 *Employee Benefits*) including employee benefits to which NZ IFRS 2 *Share-based Payment* applies. Employee benefits are all forms of consideration paid, payable or provided by the entity, or on behalf of the entity, in exchange for services rendered to the entity. It also includes such consideration paid on behalf of a parent of the entity in respect of the entity. Compensation includes:

- (a) short-term employee benefits, such as wages, salaries and social security contributions, paid annual leave and paid sick leave, profit-sharing and bonuses (if payable within twelve months of the end of the period) and non-monetary benefits (such as medical care, housing, cars and free or subsidised goods or services) for current employees;
- (b) post-employment benefits such as pensions, other retirement benefits, post-employment life insurance and post-employment medical care;
- (c) other long-term employee benefits, including long-service leave or sabbatical leave, jubilee or other long-service benefits, long-term disability benefits and, if they are not payable wholly within twelve months after the end of the period, profit-sharing, bonuses and deferred compensation;
- (d) termination benefits; and
- (e) share-based payment.

Key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity, directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or otherwise) of that entity.

Government refers to government, government agencies and similar bodies whether local, national or international.

A government-related entity is an entity that is controlled, jointly controlled or significantly influenced by a government.

The terms ‘control’, ‘joint control’ and ‘significant influence’ are defined in NZ IFRS 10, NZ IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* and NZ IAS 28 *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures* and are used in this Standard with the meanings specified in those NZ IFRSs.

- 10 In considering each possible related party relationship, attention is directed to the substance of the relationship and not merely the legal form.
- 11 In the context of this Standard, the following are not related parties:
- (a) two entities simply because they have a director or other member of key management personnel in common or because a member of key management personnel of one entity has significant influence over the other entity.
 - (b) two joint venturers simply because they share joint control of a joint venture.
 - (c)
 - (i) providers of finance,
 - (ii) trade unions,
 - (iii) public utilities, and
 - (iv) departments and agencies of a government that does not control, jointly control or significantly influence the reporting entity, simply by virtue of their normal dealings with an entity (even though they may affect the freedom of action of an entity or participate in its decision-making process).
 - (d) a customer, supplier, franchisor, distributor or general agent with whom an entity transacts a significant volume of business, simply by virtue of the resulting economic dependence.
- 12 In the definition of a related party, an associate includes subsidiaries of the associate and a joint venture includes subsidiaries of the joint venture. Therefore, for example, an associate’s subsidiary and the investor that has significant influence over the associate are related to each other.

Disclosures

All entities

- 13 **Relationships between a parent and its subsidiaries shall be disclosed irrespective of whether there have been transactions between them. An entity shall disclose the name of its parent and, if different, the ultimate controlling party. If neither the entity’s parent nor the ultimate controlling party produces consolidated financial statements available for public use, the name of the next most senior parent that does so shall also be disclosed.**
- 14 To enable users of financial statements to form a view about the effects of related party relationships on an entity, it is appropriate to disclose the related party relationship when control exists, irrespective of whether there have been transactions between the related parties.
- 15 The requirement to disclose related party relationships between a parent and its subsidiaries is in addition to the disclosure requirements in NZ IAS 27 and NZ IFRS 12 *Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities*.
- 16 Paragraph 13 refers to the next most senior parent. This is the first parent in the group above the immediate parent that produces consolidated financial statements available for public use.
- 17 **An entity shall disclose key management personnel compensation in total and for each of the following categories:**
- (a) **short-term employee benefits;**
 - (b) **post-employment benefits;**
 - (c) **other long-term benefits;**
 - (d) **termination benefits; and**
 - (e) **share-based payment.**

RDR 17.1 A Tier 2 entity is not required to disclose key management personnel compensation by category in accordance with paragraph 17.

18 If an entity has had related party transactions during the periods covered by the financial statements, it shall disclose the nature of the related party relationship as well as information about those transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, necessary for users to understand the potential effect of the relationship on the financial statements. These disclosure requirements are in addition to those in paragraph 17. At a minimum, disclosures shall include:

- (a) the amount of the transactions;
- (b) the amount of outstanding balances, including commitments, and:
 - (i) their terms and conditions, including whether they are secured, and the nature of the consideration to be provided in settlement; and
 - (ii) details of any guarantees given or received;
- (c) provisions for doubtful debts related to the amount of outstanding balances; and
- (d) the expense recognised during the period in respect of bad or doubtful debts due from related parties.

19 The disclosures required by paragraph 18 shall be made separately for each of the following categories:

- (a) the parent;
- (b) entities with joint control of, or significant influence over the entity;
- (c) subsidiaries;
- (d) associates;
- (e) joint ventures in which the entity is a joint venturer;
- (f) key management personnel of the entity or its parent; and
- (g) other related parties.

20 The classification of amounts payable to, and receivable from, related parties in the different categories as required in paragraph 19 is an extension of the disclosure requirement in NZ IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* for information to be presented either in the statement of financial position or in the notes. The categories are extended to provide a more comprehensive analysis of related party balances and apply to related party transactions.

21 The following are examples of transactions that are disclosed if they are with a related party:

- (a) purchases or sales of goods (finished or unfinished);
- (b) purchases or sales of property and other assets;
- (c) rendering or receiving of services;
- (d) leases;
- (e) transfers of research and development;
- (f) transfers under licence agreements;
- (g) transfers under finance arrangements (including loans and equity contributions in cash or in kind);
- (h) provision of guarantees or collateral;
- (i) commitments to do something if a particular event occurs or does not occur in the future, including executory contracts¹ (recognised and unrecognised); and
- (j) settlement of liabilities on behalf of the entity or by the entity on behalf of that related party.

22 Participation by a parent or subsidiary in a defined benefit plan that shares risks between group entities is a transaction between related parties (see paragraph 42 of NZ IAS 19 (as amended in 2011)).

23 Disclosures that related party transactions were made on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm's length transactions are made only if such terms can be substantiated.

¹ NZ IAS 37 *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets* defines executory contracts as contracts under which neither party has performed any of its obligations or both parties have partially performed their obligations to an equal extent.

- 24 **Items of a similar nature may be disclosed in aggregate except when separate disclosure is necessary for an understanding of the effects of related party transactions on the financial statements of the entity.**

Government-related entities

- 25 **A reporting entity is exempt from the disclosure requirements of paragraph 18 in relation to related party transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, with:**
- (a) **a government that has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, the reporting entity; and**
 - (b) **another entity that is a related party because the same government has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, both the reporting entity and the other entity.**
- *26 **If a reporting entity applies the exemption in paragraph 25, it shall disclose the following about the transactions and related outstanding balances referred to in paragraph 25:**
- (a) **the name of the government and the nature of its relationship with the reporting entity (ie control, joint control or significant influence);**
 - (b) **the following information in sufficient detail to enable users of the entity's financial statements to understand the effect of related party transactions on its financial statements:**
 - (i) **the nature and amount of each individually significant transaction; and**
 - (ii) **for other transactions that are collectively, but not individually, significant, a qualitative or quantitative indication of their extent. Types of transactions include those listed in paragraph 21.**
- *27 In using its judgement to determine the level of detail to be disclosed in accordance with the requirements in paragraph 26(b), the reporting entity shall consider the closeness of the related party relationship and other factors relevant in establishing the level of significance of the transaction such as whether it is:
- (a) significant in terms of size;
 - (b) carried out on non-market terms;
 - (c) outside normal day-to-day business operations, such as the purchase and sale of businesses;
 - (d) disclosed to regulatory or supervisory authorities;
 - (e) reported to senior management;
 - (f) subject to shareholder approval.

Effective date and transition

- 28 An entity shall apply this Standard retrospectively for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2011. Earlier application is permitted, either of the whole Standard or of the partial exemption in paragraphs 25–27 for government-related entities. If an entity applies either the whole Standard or that partial exemption for a period beginning before 1 January 2011, it shall disclose that fact.
- 28A NZ IFRS 10, NZ IFRS 11 and NZ IFRS 12, issued in June 2011, amended paragraphs 3, 9, 11(b), 15, 19(b) and (e) and 25. An entity shall apply those amendments when it applies NZ IFRS 10, NZ IFRS 11 and NZ IFRS 12.
- NZ 28A.1 *Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities*, issued in November 2012, amended extant NZ IFRSs by deleting any public benefit entity paragraphs, deleting any differential reporting concessions, adding scope paragraphs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities and adding disclosure concessions for Tier 2 entities. It made no changes to the requirements for Tier 1 entities. A Tier 2 entity may elect to apply the disclosure concessions for annual periods beginning on or after 1 December 2012. Early application is permitted.

Withdrawal of NZ IAS 24 (2004)

- 29 This Standard supersedes NZ IAS 24 *Related Party Disclosures* (as issued in 2004).

Appendix

Amendment to NZ IFRS 8 *Operating Segments*

The amendments in this appendix shall be applied for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2011. If an entity applies this Standard for an earlier period, these amendments shall be applied for that earlier period.

The amendments contained in this appendix when this Standard was issued in 2009 have been incorporated into the relevant pronouncement.

HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

Table of Pronouncements – NZ IAS 24 *Related Party Disclosures*

This table lists the pronouncements establishing and substantially amending NZ IAS 24. The table is based on amendments approved as at 30 November 2012.

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods... on or after ...)
NZ IAS 24 <i>Related Party Disclosures</i>	Nov 2009	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2011
Minor Amendments to NZ IFRSs	July 2010	Immediate	Immediate
NZ IFRS 10 <i>Consolidated Financial Statements</i>	June 2011	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2013
NZ IFRS 11 <i>Joint Arrangements</i>	June 2011	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2013
NZ IFRS 12 <i>Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities</i>	June 2011	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2013
NZ IAS 19 <i>Employee Benefits</i>	Aug 2011	Early application permitted	1 Jan 2013
<i>Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities</i> ¹	Nov 2012	Early application permitted	1 Dec 2012

Table of Amended Paragraphs in NZ IAS 24

Paragraph affected	How affected	By ... [date]
Paragraph 3	Amended	NZ IFRS 10 and NZ IFRS 11 [June 2011]
Paragraph 9	Amended	NZ IFRS 10 [June 2011]
Paragraph 11(b)	Amended	NZ IFRS 11 [June 2011]
Paragraph 15	Amended	NZ IFRS 12 [June 2011]
Paragraph 19(b) and (e)	Amended	NZ IFRS 11 [June 2011]
Paragraph 22	Amended	NZ IAS 19 [Aug 2011]
Paragraph 25	Amended	NZ IFRS 11 [June 2011]
Paragraph 28A	Inserted	NZ IFRS 10, NZ IFRS 11 and NZ IFRS 12 [June 2011]
Paragraph NZ 28A.1	Inserted	<i>Framework: Tier 1 and Tier 2 For-profit Entities</i> [Nov 2012]

¹ This pronouncement amended extant NZ IFRSs by (i) deleting any public benefit entity paragraphs, (ii) deleting any differential reporting paragraphs, (iii) adding scope paragraphs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for-profit entities, and (iv) adding RDR disclosure concessions.